

# THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES FEDERAL REGISTER OF THE UNITED STATES

1934

VOLUME 21      NUMBER 139

Washington, Thursday, July 19, 1956

## TITLE 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

### Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

#### PART 25—FEDERAL EMPLOYEES' PAY REGULATIONS

##### SALARY RETENTION

Effective June 18, 1956, a new Subpart D is added to Part 25 as set out below.

##### Subpart D—Salary Retention

Sec.	
25.401	Scope.
25.402	Employee coverage.
25.403	Definitions.
25.404	Salary retention rule.
25.405	Prior reclassification action.
25.406	Step increases.
25.407	Termination of saved rate.
25.408	Subsequent reclassification action.
25.409	Salary increases authorized by law.
25.410	Effective date.

**AUTHORITY:** §§ 25.401 to 25.410 issued under sec. 1101, 63 Stat. 971; 5 U. S. C. 1072. Interpret or apply—70 Stat. 291.

##### SUBPART D—SALARY RETENTION

§ 25.401 *Scope.* (a) The regulations in this subpart govern Salary Retention following the demotion of an employee upon reclassification of his position to a lower grade.

(b) The regulations in this subpart do not apply to the demotion of an employee to another position for personal cause, or at his own request, or in a reduction in force.

§ 25.402 *Employee coverage.* The regulations in this subpart apply to any employee occupying a position subject to the Classification Act of 1949, as amended, other than in grade 16, 17, or 18 of the General Schedule.

§ 25.403 *Definitions.* As used in this subpart, the term:

(a) "Saved rate" means an employee's existing rate of basic compensation immediately prior to demotion;

(b) "Employee" includes officer or employee;

(c) "Reclassification" means any classification action lowering the grade of the employee's position during his incumbency of such position without a material change in duties or responsibilities.

§ 25.404 *Salary retention rule.* Any employee holding a career or career-conditional appointment in the competi-

tive service who continues to occupy his position after demotion resulting from the reclassification of his position, who held such position for two years immediately preceding such reclassification, and who has not received a rating of less than satisfactory covering any part of the two-year period served in his position immediately prior to the date of its reclassification shall be entitled to (a) a saved rate, or (b) a rate of basic compensation fixed in accordance with Subpart B of this part (General Compensation Rules) which is not less than his existing rate of basic compensation.

§ 25.405 *Prior reclassification action.* Any employee who occupied a position (other than in grades GS-16, 17, or 18) which was reclassified during the period July 1, 1954, through June 18, 1956, and who continues to occupy his position after demotion resulting from such reclassification shall be entitled to the benefits of this subpart, effective the first day of the first pay period following June 18, 1956, provided:

(a) He held such position for not less than two years immediately prior to June 18, 1956;

(b) He has not received a rating of less than satisfactory for June 18, 1956, and the two-year period prescribed in this section; and

(c) He continues to hold such position on the first day of the first pay period following June 18, 1956.

§ 25.406 *Step increases.* An employee who receives a saved rate under this subpart shall be eligible to earn periodic and longevity step increases, in the grade to which his position is reclassified, in accordance with the regulations governing step increases under this part.

§ 25.407 *Termination of saved rate.* The saved rate received by an employee shall be terminated when:

(a) He leaves his position; or

(b) He receives a rate of basic compensation higher than his saved rate in the position to which demoted through the operation of other provisions of the Classification Act of 1949, as amended.

§ 25.408 *Subsequent reclassification action.* Upon further reclassification of his position, an employee receiving a

(Continued on p. 5405)

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Agricultural Marketing Service</b>	
Proposed rule making:	
Milk marketing areas:	
Memphis, Tenn.....	5419
New Orleans, La.....	5421
Tulsa-Muskogee, Okla.....	5416
Vegetables grown in certain designated counties in Colorado; proposed expenses and rate of assessment.....	5419
<b>Rules and regulations:</b>	
Avocados grown in south Florida; maturity regulation.....	5409
Potatoes, Irish, grown in Colorado; limitation of shipments.....	5409
<b>Agriculture Department</b>	
See also Agricultural Marketing Service; Commodity Stabilization Service; Rural Electrification Administration.	
<b>Notices:</b>	
Kansas, New Mexico, Texas; disaster assistance; delineation of drought areas.....	5432
<b>Army Department</b>	
See also Engineers Corps.	
<b>Rules and regulations:</b>	
Panama Canal and adjacent waters; miscellaneous amendments.....	5405
U. S. Soldiers' Home.....	5415
<b>Civil Aeronautics Administration</b>	
<b>Rules and regulations:</b>	
Standard instrument approach procedures; alterations.....	5406
<b>Civil Service Commission</b>	
<b>Rules and regulations:</b>	
Federal employees' pay regulations; salary retention.....	5403
<b>Commerce Department</b>	
See Civil Aeronautics Administration; Federal Maritime Board.	
<b>Commodity Stabilization Service</b>	
<b>Notices:</b>	
Sugarcane wages and prices in Louisiana and designation of presiding officers.....	5432
<b>Customs Bureau</b>	
<b>Rules and regulations:</b>	
Air commerce regulations; designation of Miller Municipal Airport as an international airport.....	5410

# FEDERAL REGISTER

Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

## CFR SUPPLEMENTS

(As of January 1, 1956)

The following Supplements are now available:

Title 26 (1954) Part 221 to end (Rev., 1955) (\$2.25)

Title 38 (\$2.00)

Titles 44-45 (\$1.00)

Title 50 (\$0.60)

Previously announced: Title 3, 1955 Supp. (\$2.00); Titles 4 and 5 (\$1.00); Title 6 (\$1.75); Title 7: Parts 1-209 (\$1.25), Parts 210-899 (Rev., 1955) with Supplement (\$4.50), Parts 900-959 (Rev., 1955) (\$6.00), Part 960 to end (Rev., 1955) with Supplement (\$5.85); Title 8 (\$0.50); Title 9 (\$0.70); Titles 10-13 (\$0.70); Title 14: Parts 1-300 (\$2.50), Part 400 to end (\$1.00); Title 15 (\$1.00); Title 16 (\$1.25); Title 17 (\$0.60); Title 18 (\$0.50); Title 19 (\$0.50); Title 20 (\$1.00); Title 21 (Rev., 1955) (\$5.50); Titles 22 and 23 (\$1.00); Title 24 (\$0.75); Title 25 (\$0.50); Title 26 (1954) Parts 1-220 (Rev., 1955) (\$2.00); Title 26: Parts 1-79 (\$0.35), Parts 80-169 (\$0.50), Parts 170-182 (\$0.30), Parts 183-299 (\$0.35), Part 300 to end, Ch. 1, and Title 27 (\$1.00); Titles 28 and 29 (\$1.25); Titles 30 and 31 (\$1.25); Title 32: Parts 1-399 (\$0.60), Parts 400-699 (\$0.65), Parts 700-799 (\$0.35), Parts 800-1099 (\$0.40), Part 1100 to end (\$0.35); Title 32A (Rev., 1955) (\$1.25); Title 33 (\$1.50); Titles 35-37 (\$1.00); Title 39 (Rev., 1955) (\$4.25); Titles 40-42 (\$0.65); Title 43 (\$0.50); Title 46: Parts 1-145 (\$0.60), Part 146 to end (\$1.25); Titles 47 and 48 (\$2.25); Title 49: Parts 1-70 (\$0.60), Parts 71-90 (\$1.00), Parts 91-164 (\$0.50), Part 165 to end (\$0.65)

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

## CONTENTS—Continued

<b>Defense Department</b> <i>See</i> Army Department; Engineers Corps.	Page
<b>Defense Mobilization Office</b> Notices: Carson, Gordon B.; statement of business interests.....	5431
Establishing positions: Assistant director for production.....	5430
Assistant director for transportation.....	5430
<b>Engineers Corps</b> Rules and regulations: Public use of certain reservoir areas; Lucky Peak Reservation Area, Boise River, Idaho.....	5405
<b>Federal Facilities Corporation</b> Notices: Government-owned Longhorn Tin Smelter; invitation for proposals for purchase or lease.....	5425
<b>Federal Housing Administration</b> Rules and regulations: Multifamily housing insurance; eligibility requirements of mortgage covering multifamily housing; miscellaneous amendments.....	5412
Rental housing insurance; rights and obligations of mortgagee under insurance contract; defaults.....	5412
<b>Federal Maritime Board</b> Notices: Agreements filed with Board for approval: Booth Steamship Co., Inc., et al.....	5425
Matson Navigation Co. et al.....	5424
<b>Federal Power Commission</b> Notices: Hearings, etc.: Atlantic Refining Co.....	5428
El Paso Natural Gas Co.....	5428
Hope Natural Gas Co.....	5427
Mountain Fuel Supply Co.....	5426
Northern States Power Co.....	5427
Northern States Power Co. et al.....	5426
Servicios Electricos De Piedras Negras, S. A., and Central Power and Light Co.....	5428
Village of Franklin, Ill., et al.....	5427
<b>Federal Trade Commission</b> Rules and regulations: Martin, J. C. Corp., et al.; cease and desist order.....	5410
<b>Food and Drug Administration</b> Rules and regulations: Certification: Antibiotic and antibiotic-containing drugs; general regulations.....	5411
Chlortetracycline (or tetracycline) and chlortetracycline- (or tetracycline-) containing drugs.....	5411
Penicillin and penicillin-containing drugs.....	5411
Pesticide chemicals in or on raw agricultural commodities; tolerances for residues of 1,1-Dichloro-2,2-bis(p-ethylphenyl) ethane.....	5410

## CONTENTS—Continued

<b>Food and Drug Administration—Continued</b> Rules and regulations—Con. Tests and methods of assay: Chloramphenicol and chloramphenicol-containing drugs.....	Page
Penicillin and penicillin-containing drugs.....	5411
<b>General Services Administration</b> Notices: Federal Office Buildings; prospectus for proposed buildings in southwest redevelopment area of District of Columbia.....	5420
<b>Health, Education, and Welfare Department</b> <i>See</i> Food and Drug Administration.	
<b>Housing and Home Finance Agency</b> <i>See</i> Federal Housing Administration.	
<b>Interior Department</b> <i>See</i> Land Management Bureau; Reclamation Bureau.	
<b>Internal Revenue Service</b> Rules and regulations: Procedure and administration; payment of taxes by foreign currency.....	5413
<b>Interstate Commerce Commission</b> Notices: Fourth section applications for relief.....	5420
<b>Labor Department</b> <i>See</i> Wage and Hour Division.	
<b>Land Management Bureau</b> Rules and regulations: Alaska; public land order.....	5410
<b>Reclamation Bureau</b> Notices: Colorado River Storage and Yuma Projects, California; order of revocation.....	5423
<b>Rural Electrification Administration</b> Notices: Loan announcements (10 documents).....	5432-5434
<b>Securities and Exchange Commission</b> Notices: Hearings, etc.: Columbia Gas System, Inc., et al.....	5431
Lewisohn Copper Corp.....	5431
Proposed rule making: General rules and regulations under Securities Act of 1933.....	5422
<b>Treasury Department</b> <i>See also</i> Customs Bureau; Internal Revenue Service. Notices: Treasury Notes of series D-1957, 2¾ percent; offering of notes.....	5423
<b>Wage and Hour Division</b> Proposed rule making: Employment of learners in luggage, small leather goods and ladies' handbag industries; notice of hearing.....	5421

## CODIFICATION GUIDE

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

Title 5	Page
Chapter I:	
Part 25	5403
Title 7	
Chapter IX:	
Part 906 (proposed)	5416
Part 910 (proposed)	5419
Part 918 (proposed)	5419
Part 942 (proposed)	5421
Part 958	5409
Part 969	5409
Title 14	
Chapter II:	
Part 609	5406
Title 16	
Chapter I:	
Part 13	5410
Title 17	
Chapter II:	
Part 230 (proposed)	5422
Title 19	
Chapter I:	
Part 6	5410
Title 21	
Chapter I:	
Part 120	5410
Part 141a	5411
Part 141d	5411
Part 146	5411
Part 146a	5411
Part 146c	5411
Title 24	
Chapter II:	
Part 232	5412
Part 233	5412
Title 26 (1954)	
Chapter I:	
Part 301	5413
Title 29	
Chapter V:	
Part 522 (proposed)	5421
Title 32	
Chapter V:	
Part 574	5415
Title 35	
Chapter I:	
Part 4	5405
Appendix (Canal Zone orders):	
30 (amended by CZO 43)	5405
43	5405
Title 36	
Chapter III:	
Part 311	5405
Title 43	
Chapter I:	
Appendix (Public land orders):	
715 (revoked in part by PLO 1313)	5416
1313	5416

saved rate shall continue to receive such rate unless sooner terminated in accordance with one of the conditions in § 25.407.

§ 25.409 *Salary increases authorized by law.* (a) An employee's saved rate, unless terminated under § 25.407, shall be increased by any pay increase authorized by law.

(b) Any employee entitled to the benefits of § 25.405 shall be entitled to a saved rate based on his rate of basic compensation prior to the reclassification as increased by any pay increases authorized by law subsequent to the reclassification action.

§ 25.410 *Effective date.* Except as otherwise prescribed in § 25.405, any pay adjustments under this subpart shall be effective on the first day of the first pay period following demotion.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] WM. C. HULL,  
Executive Assistant.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5807; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:50 a. m.]

## TITLE 35—PANAMA CANAL

## Chapter I—Canal Zone Regulations

[Canal Zone Order No. 43]

## PART 4—OPERATION AND NAVIGATION OF PANAMA CANAL AND ADJACENT WATERS

## MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

By virtue of the authority vested in the President of the United States by section 9 of title 2 of the Canal Zone Code, approved June 19, 1934, and delegated to me by Executive Order No. 9746 of July 1, 1946, as amended by Executive Order No. 10101 of January 31, 1950, and Executive Order No. 10595 of February 7, 1955, §§ 4.157, 4.158, and 4.160 of Title 35 of the Code of Federal Regulations, as adopted and amended by Canal Zone Order No. 30 of January 6, 1953, 18 F. R. 280, are hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 4.157 *Classification and licensing of masters, mates, engineers, and pilots.* Under the direction of the Governor, the Board of Local Inspectors shall recommend the classification of masters, mates, engineers, and pilots of steam and motor vessels navigating the waters of the Canal Zone; and upon such recommendation licenses shall be issued by the Supervising Inspector or by such other officer as may be designated by the Governor.

§ 4.158 *Term, and suspension or revocation, of licenses.* Licenses shall be granted for a term of three years, but may be suspended or revoked by the Supervising Inspector, or by such other officer as may be designated by the Governor, upon satisfactory proof of negligence, unskillfulness, intemperance, or other improper conduct: *Provided, however,* That prior to final action in the matter of the suspension or revocation of

any license, the Board of Local Inspectors shall conduct a hearing in the matter and submit its recommendations therein to the Supervising Inspector or other designated officer.

§ 4.160 *Appeal from action refusing license.* An applicant for a license as master, mate, engineer, or pilot, for whom the Board of Local Inspectors has refused to recommend such license may appeal to the Supervising Inspector or to such other officer as may be designated by the Governor. Such appeal must be entered within 10 days after the final action of the Board. Upon such appeal the Supervising Inspector or other designated officer shall have authority either to grant or to deny such license.

(Sec. 5, 37 Stat. 562, as amended; 2 CZ Code 9, 48 U. S. C. 1318, E. O. 9746, 11 F. R. 7329, 3 CFR, 1946 Supp., E. O. 10101, 15 F. R. 595, 3 CFR, 1950 Supp., E. O. 10595, 20 F. R. 819; 3 CFR, 1955 Supp.)

WILBER M. BRUCKER,  
Secretary of the Army.

JULY 12, 1956.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5797; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:48 a. m.]

## TITLE 36—PARKS, FORESTS, AND MEMORIALS

## Chapter III—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

## PART 311—RULES AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING PUBLIC USE OF CERTAIN RESERVOIR AREAS

## LUCKY PEAK RESERVOIR AREA, BOISE RIVER, IDAHO

The Secretary of the Army having determined that the use of the Lucky Peak Reservoir Area, Boise River, Idaho, by the general public for boating, swimming, bathing, fishing and other recreational purposes will not be contrary to the public interest and will not be inconsistent with the operation and maintenance of the reservoir for its primary purposes, hereby prescribes rules and regulations for its public use, pursuant to the provisions of section 209 of the Flood Control Act of 1954 as follows:

1. A new paragraph (kkk) is added to § 311.1:

§ 311.1 *Areas covered.* \* \* \*  
(kkk) Lucky Peak Reservoir Area, Boise River, Idaho.

2. A new subparagraph (41) is added to § 311.4(a):

§ 311.4 *Houseboats.* (a) \* \* \*  
(41) Lucky Peak Reservoir Area, Boise River, Idaho.

[Reg., 29 June 1956, ENGWO] (Sec. 209, 68 Stat. 1266; 16 U. S. C. 460d)

[SEAL] JOHN A. KLEIN,  
Major General, U. S. Army,  
The Adjutant General.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5787; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:46 a. m.]

## TITLE 14—CIVIL AVIATION

## Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Administration, Department of Commerce

[Amdt. 204]

## PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

## PROCEDURE ALTERATIONS

The standard instrument approach procedure alterations appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. Compliance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and therefore is not required.

Part 609 is amended as follows:

NOTE: Where the general classification (LFR, VAR, ADF, ILS, GCA, or VOR), location, and procedure number (if any) of any procedure in the amendments which follow, are identical with an existing procedure, that procedure is to be substituted for the existing one, as of the effective date given, to the extent that it differs from the existing procedure; where a procedure is cancelled, the existing procedure is revoked; new procedures are to be placed in appropriate alphabetical sequence within the section amended.

## 1. The low frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.6 are amended to read in part:

## LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If an LFR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; / limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance, facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums				If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	2 engines or less	More than 2 engines	More than 4 engines	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
AKRON, OHIO. Akron Airport, 1,051'. SBMR/LZ-DWV-AKR. Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 4. Effective date: August 11, 1956. Supersedes Amendment 3, dated November 12, 1954. Major changes: Procedure turn altitude revised. Missed approach distance revised. Nautical miles.				W side of S course: 280° outbound. 021° inbound. 2,500' within 10 miles.	1,800	077—0.2	T-dn C-dn A-dn	400-1 600-1 600-1½ 800-2	400-1 600-1½ 700-1½ 800-2	400-1 700-1½ 800-2	Within 0.2 mile, climb to 2,500' on N course of Akron LFR within 10 miles. NOTE: Procedure turn conducted W to avoid traffic at Akron and Canton, Ohio.
AKRON, OHIO. Akron Airport, 1,051'. SBMR/LZ-DWV-AKR. Procedure No. 2. Amendment No. 1. Effective date: August 11, 1956. Supersedes amendment Original, dated November 12, 1954. Major changes: Nautical miles; missed approach distance revised.				S side of W course: 280° outbound. 110° inbound. 2,500' within 10 miles.	2,000	077—0.2	T-dn C-dn A-dn	400-1 700-1 800-2	400-1 700-1½ 800-2	400-1 700-1½ 800-2	Within 0.2 mile, climb to 2,500' on N course of Akron LFR within 10 miles.

2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.8 are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. If an ADF instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance to approach facility	Ceiling and visibility minimums				If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	2 engines or less		More than 2 engines (more than 65 knots)	
								65 knots or less	More than 65 knots		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
ROCKFORD, ILL. Greater Rockford, 724'. "H"-RFD. Procedure No. 1. Amendment Original. Effective date: July 11, 1956. Supersedes: None. Major changes: None.	RFD LFR..... JVL VOR..... Intersection JVL VOR 223° R and 090° bearing to "H". Intersection 031° bearing to RFD LFR and 090° bearing to "H". Intersection 314° bearing to RFD LFR and 270° bearing to "H".	180-13.0 170-27.0 090-27.0 090-17.8 270-13.4	2,500 2,500 2,000 2,000 2,000	E side of course: 182° outbound. 092° inbound. 2,000' within 10 miles.	1,500.	002-4.7	T-dn O-dn S-dn 30 A-dn	300-1 400-1 400-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	200-1½ 500-1½ 400-1 800-2	Within 4.7 miles, make right turn, climb to 2,000', proceed to RFD "H".

3. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.11 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. If an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Transition to ILS				Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope interception (ft.)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—		Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished—	
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Min. turn altitudes (ft.)			Outer marker	Middle marker	Condition	2 engines or less			More than 2 engines (more than 05 knots)
										05 knots or less	More than 05 knots		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
AUSTIN, TEX. Muehleisen, 631'. ILS-1A US. VOR-AUS. NAV course ILS. Procedure No. 2. Amendment Original. Effective date: Aug. 11, 1954.	AUS-VOR.....	Plateau Intersection.	200-5.5	2,500	W side of NAV course: 303° outbound. 125° inbound. 2,500' within 10 miles of Plateau Intersection.	No glide slope Plateau Intersection 2,300'. Burnet Intersection 1,400'.	No glide slope Burnet Intersection to Runway 12, 2.4 miles.		*Tdn O-dn 8-dn A-dn	300-1 200-1 400-1 500-2	300-1 200-1 400-1 500-2	200-1½ 200-1 400-1 500-2	Climb to 2,000' on 8E course, 125° within 20 miles. When directed by ATIS turn left, climb to 2,000' on radial 100° within 20 miles. *200-1½ authorized on Runways 10R, 34L, 12R and 30L only.

## ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

City and State; airport name, elevation, facility, class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Transition to ILS				Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope intersection inbound (ft.)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—		Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished—	
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Min. altitudes (ft.)			Outer marker	Middle marker	Condition	2 engines or less 65 knots or less	More than 2 engines (more than 65 knots)		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
COLUMBUS, OHIO; Port Columbus, 816'. ILS-OMH. LOM-OMH. Combination ILS-ADF Procedure No. 1. Amendment No. 6. Effective date: August 11, 1956. Supersedes Amendment 5, dated July 30, 1956. Major changes: Deletes ADF transition from Newark FM.	Columbus LFR..... Newark FM (final)..... Intersection E course ILS or 270° bearing to LOM and radial 120° to OMH VOR (final).	LOM..... E course ILS..... LOM..... LOM.....	062-7.3 255-10 276-5.8	2,600 2,600 2,500 (ILS) 2,000 (ADF)	N side of E course: 090° outbound, 270° inbound, 2,500' within 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.	ILS 2,600 ADF 2,000 over LOM	2,605-5.4 1,093-0.01		T-dn C-dn S-dn 27 ILS ADF A-dn ILS ADF	300-1 400-1 300-1 400-1 200-1/2 400-1 400-1 400-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	300-1 400-1 300-1 400-1 200-1/2 400-1 400-1 400-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	200-1/2 500-1 1/2 200-1/2 500-1 1/2 200-1/2 400-1 400-1 400-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	5.4 miles after passing LOM (ADF), climb to 2,500' on W course ILS or course 270° from LOM within 15 miles.
MILWAUKEE, WIS. General Mitchell, 635'. ILS-MKE. LOM-MKE. Procedure No. 1. Combination ILS-ADF. Amendment No. 9. Effective date: June 22, 1956. Supersedes No. 8, dated June 21, 1956. Major changes: ILS straight-in minimums lowered.	MKE-LFR..... Franksville FM (final). Franksville FM (final). Intersection N course MKE-LFR and ILS S course. Genesee FM..... Racine Intersection VHF. Cardinal Intersection VHF. MKE-VOR..... SPI-LFR..... SPI-VOR.....	LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM.....	185-2.2 354-6.5 354-6.5 186-3.7 106-21.7 302-11.3 186-14.8 127-22.6 271-1.2 217-9.5	2,000 ILS 2,000 ADF 1,400 2,000 2,000 2,300 2,000 2,000	E side of S course: 180° outbound, 090° inbound, 2,000' within 10 miles.	ILS 2,000 ADF 1,400 over LOM	2,035-4.0 918-0.5		T-dn C-dn S-dn 1 ILS ADF A-dn ILS ADF	300-1 600-1 300-1 600-1 200-1/2 400-1 400-1 400-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	300-1 600-1 300-1 600-1 200-1/2 400-1 400-1 400-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	200-1/2 600-1 1/2 200-1/2 600-1 1/2 200-1/2 400-1 400-1 400-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	Within 4 miles after passing LOM, climb to 2,500' on N course, MKE-LFR within 20 miles, or when directed by ATIS, make left climbing turn to 2,500' and intercept approach to MKE-VOR and proceed to MKE-VOR. *Descent to 2,000' authorized after passing intersection inbound.
SPRINGFIELD, ILL. Capital, 638'. ILS-LOM. Procedure No. 1. ILS-ADF. Amendment Original. Effective date: July 11, 1956. Supersedes: None. Major changes: New procedure.	SPI-LFR..... SPI-VOR.....	LOM..... LOM.....	271-1.2 217-9.5	2,000 2,000	S side of course: 218° outbound, 035° inbound, 2,000' within 10 miles.	2,000	2,000-5.1 786-0.6		T-dn C-dn S-dn 4 ILS ADF A-dn ILS ADF	300-1 400-1 300-1 400-1 300-1 400-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	300-1 400-1 300-1 400-1 300-1 400-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	200-1/2 600-1 1/2 200-1/2 600-1 1/2 200-1/2 400-1 400-1 400-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	Within 6.1 miles, climb on NE course ILS or on bearing 035° from LOM to 2,000' within 20 miles. No approach lights. *All installed components of the ILS must be operating; otherwise, alternate minimums of 800-2 apply.

These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated on the procedures.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 934, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interpret or apply sec. 601, 52 Stat. 1007, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551)

[SEAL]

C. J. LOWEN,  
Administrator of Civil Aeronautics.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5485; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:45 a. m.]

## TITLE 7—AGRICULTURE

## Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

## PART 958—IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN COLORADO

## LIMITATION OF SHIPMENTS

§ 958.321 *Limitation of shipments*—(a) *Findings*. (1) Pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 97 and Order No. 58 (7 CFR Part 958), regulating the handling of Irish potatoes grown in the State of Colorado, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the area committee for Area No. 3, established pursuant to said marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.) in that (i) the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, (ii) more orderly marketing in the public interest, than would otherwise prevail, will be promoted by regulating the shipment of potatoes, in the manner set forth below, on and after the effective date of this section, (iii) compliance with this section will not require any preparation on the part of handlers which cannot be completed by the effective date, (iv) a reasonable time is permitted under the circumstances, for such preparation, and (v) information regarding the committee's recommendations has been made available to producers and handlers in the production area.

(b) *Order*. (1) During the period from July 23, 1956, through May 31, 1957, no handler shall ship potatoes of any variety grown in Area No. 3 unless such potatoes meet the requirements of the U. S. No. 2 or better grade and (i) if they are of the round varieties such potatoes are of a size not less than 2 inches minimum diameter, and (ii) if they are of the long varieties such potatoes are of a size not less than 2 inches minimum diameter or 4 ounces minimum weight, as such terms are defined in the United States Standards for Potatoes (§§ 51.1540-1559 of this title), including the tolerances set forth therein.

(2) During the period from July 23, 1956, through May 31, 1957, and subject to the requirements set forth in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, no handler shall ship any lot of any variety of potatoes grown in Area No. 3 if such

potatoes are more than "moderately skinned" as such term is defined in the said United States Standards, which means that not more than 10 percent of such potatoes have more than one-half of the skin missing or "feathered": *Provided*, That not to exceed 100 hundredweight of such potatoes may be handled for any producer without regard to the aforesaid skinning requirements if the handler thereof reports, prior to such handling, the name and address of the producer of such potatoes, and each shipment hereunder is handled as an identifiable entity.

(3) For the purpose of determining who shall be entitled to the exception set forth in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph: (i) "Producer" means any individual, partnership, corporation, association, landlord-tenant relationship, community property ownership, or any other business unit engaged in the production of potatoes for market; and (ii) it is intended that each 100 hundredweight exception to the aforesaid skinning requirement shall apply only to the potatoes grown on each farm of a producer.

(4) Terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in Marketing Agreement No. 97 and Order No. 58.

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Dated: July 16, 1956.

[SEAL]

S. R. SMITH,  
Director,

Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5825; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

## [Avocado Order 12, Amdt. 1]

## PART 969—AVOCADOS GROWN IN SOUTH FLORIDA

## MATURITY REGULATION

§ 969.312 *Avocado Order 12, As Amended*—(a) *Findings*. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 69, as amended (7 CFR Part 969; 20 F. R. 4177), regulating the handling of avocados grown in South Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.; 68 Stat. 906, 1047), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the Avocado Administrative Committee, established under the aforesaid marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of avocados, as hereinafter pro-

vided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.) in that, as hereinafter set forth, the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective not later than July 23, 1956. This amendment relieves restrictions on specified varieties of avocados in that it will permit the shipment of such varieties at an earlier date than now provided. It also establishes maturity requirements for such varieties which will be applicable on and after the time the shipment of such varieties is permitted. A reasonable determination as to the time of maturity of avocados must await the development of the crop thereof, and adequate information thereon, with respect to the varieties specified in this amendment, was not available to the Avocado Administrative Committee until July 10, 1956; determinations as to the time of maturity of the varieties of avocados covered by this amendment were made at the meeting of said committee on July 10, 1956, after consideration of all available information relative to such maturity and growing conditions prevailing during the current season for such avocados, at which time the recommendations and supporting information for such maturity regulation were submitted to the Department; such meeting was held to consider recommendation for such regulation after giving due notice thereof, and interested parties were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; the provisions of this amendment are identical with the aforesaid recommendations of the committee and information concerning such provisions has been disseminated among the handlers of avocados; and compliance with the provisions of this regulation will not require of handlers any preparation therefor which cannot be completed by the effective time hereof.

(b) It is therefore ordered as follows: (1) The provisions set forth in Table I of paragraph (b) of § 969.312 (Avocado Order 12; 21 F. R. 3307) are hereby amended by adding thereto the following:

TABLE I

Variety	Date	Minimum weight or diameter	Date	Minimum weight or diameter	Date	Minimum weight or diameter	Date
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Trapp.....	7-23-56	14 oz., 3 3/8 in.	8-6-56	12 oz., 3 3/8 in.	8-20-56	10 oz., 3 3/8 in.	9-17-56
Waldin.....	7-30-56	10 oz., 3 3/8 in.	8-13-56	14 oz., 3 3/8 in.	8-27-56	10 oz., 3 3/8 in.	10-15-56
Petersen.....	8-6-56	12 oz., 3 3/8 in.	8-20-56	10 oz., 3 3/8 in.	9-3-56	8 oz., 2 3/8 in.	9-24-56
Finelli.....	8-20-56	18 oz., 3 3/8 in.	8-27-56	10 oz., 3 3/8 in.	9-3-56	12 oz., 3 3/8 in.	9-24-56
Tonnage.....	8-20-56	14 oz., 3 3/8 in.	8-27-56	12 oz., 3 3/8 in.	9-3-56	10 oz., 3 3/8 in.	9-24-56

(2) The provisions set forth in Table II of paragraph (b) § 969.312 (Avocado Order 12; 21 F. R. 3307) as pertains to the Trapp, Waldin, Petersen, Pinelli, and Tonnage varieties are hereby terminated.

(c) The provisions of this amendment shall become effective at 12:01 a. m., e. s. t., July 23, 1956.

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Dated: July 16, 1956.

[SEAL]

S. R. SMITH,

Director,

Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5817; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

## TITLE 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

### Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission [Docket 6145]

#### PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

J. C. MARTIN CORP. ET AL.

Subpart—Using, selling, or supplying lottery devices; § 13.2475 Devices for lottery selling; § 13.2480 In merchandising.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 45) [Cease and desist order, J. C. Martin Corp. et al., New York, N. Y., Docket 6145, June 29, 1956]

In the Matter of J. C. Martin Corp., a Corporation, and Jack Kaslow and Seymour Orenstein, Individually and as Officers of J. C. Martin Corp., and Jack Kaslow, Trading as K. W. Sales Company, and Seymour Orenstein, Trading as L. & S. Sales Company

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging a corporation and its officers with use of lottery devices in their sales methods which involved selling varied articles of merchandise, including jewelry, silverware, kitchen utensils, and toilet articles, through members of the public to whom they mailed pull cards and circulars giving the purchaser the option of either pulling a tab or buying outright as many articles as he wished from the list in the circulars giving description and price of each.

Following respondents' answer, hearings in due course, submission by counsel of proposed findings and conclusions, and oral argument, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist from which respondents appealed. The Commission found the findings and conclusions drawn therefrom fully justified by the record, and the order included in the initial decision entirely appropriate, and on June 29, 1956, rendered its decision denying the appeal and adopting the initial decision as its own decision.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent J. C. Martin Corp., a corporation, and its officers, and respondents Jack Kaslow and Seymour Orenstein, individually and

trading as K. W. Sales Company and L. & S. Sales Company, respectively, or trading under any other name, and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other devise, in connection with the offering for sale, sale and distribution of any merchandise in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Supplying to or placing in the hands of others pull cards or any other devices which are designed or intended to be used in the sale and distribution of respondents' merchandise to the public by means of a game of chance, gift enterprise, or lottery scheme.

2. Selling or otherwise disposing of any merchandise by means of a game of chance, gift enterprise, or lottery scheme.

By "Final Order", report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondents, J. C. Martin Corp., a corporation, and Jack Kaslow and Seymour Orenstein, individuals, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order contained in said initial decision.

Issued: June 29, 1956.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ROBERT M. PARRISH,

Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5667; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:45 a. m.]

## TITLE 19—CUSTOMS DUTIES

### Chapter I—Bureau of Customs, Department of the Treasury

[T. D. 54142]

#### PART 6—AIR COMMERCE REGULATIONS

##### DESIGNATION OF MILLER MUNICIPAL AIRPORT AS AN INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

The Miller Municipal Airport, McAllen, Texas, is hereby designated as an international airport (airport of entry) for civil aircraft and merchandise carried thereon arriving from places outside the United States, as defined in section 9 (b) of the Air Commerce Act of 1926 (49 U. S. C. 179 (b)), effective on the date of publication of this Treasury decision in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The list of international airports in § 6.13 is hereby amended to include the name and location of this airport.

Notice of the proposed designation of the Miller Municipal Airport as an international airport was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 9, 1956 (21 F. R. 3967), pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U. S. C. 1003).

The designation of this airport is based on a determination that a sufficient need exists to justify such action and the designation is made for the purpose of providing for convenient compliance with customs requirements. For these rea-

sons, it is found desirable to make the international airport available to the public as soon as possible and to dispense with the delayed effective date provision of section 4 (c) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U. S. C. 1003 (c)).

(R. S. 161, sec. 7, 44 Stat. 572, as amended; 5 U. S. C. 22, 49 U. S. C. 177)

[SEAL]

RALPH KELLY,

Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: July 17, 1956.

DAVID W. KENDALL,

Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5896; Filed, July 18, 1956; 11:05 a. m.]

## TITLE 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

### Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

#### Subchapter B—Food and Food Products

##### PART 120—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

##### TOLERANCES FOR RESIDUES OF 1,1-DICHLORO-2,2-bis (p-ETHYLPHENYL) ETHANE

A petition was filed with the Food and Drug Administration requesting the establishment of tolerances for residues of 1,1-dichloro-2,2-bis (p-ethylphenyl) ethane (also known as diethyl diphenyl dichloroethane) in or on certain raw agricultural commodities. The petitioner withdrew the request for a tolerance for residues of this pesticide in milk.

The Secretary of Agriculture has certified that this pesticide chemical is useful for the purposes for which tolerances are being established. He was unable to certify the usefulness of this pesticide chemical on a number of crops for which tolerances were requested.

After consideration of the data submitted in the petition and other relevant material which show that the tolerances established in this order will protect the public health, and by virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare by the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408 (d) (2), 68 Stat. 512; 21 U. S. C. 346a (d) (2)) and delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs by the Secretary (21 CFR 120.7 (g)), the regulations for tolerances for pesticide chemicals in or on raw agricultural commodities (21 CFR Part 120) are amended as follows:

1. In § 120.3 Tolerances for related pesticide chemicals, paragraph (c) (4) is amended by inserting immediately following the name "Dieldrin" in the list of chlorinated hydrocarbons the name "Diethyl diphenyl dichloroethane."

2. Part 120 is amended by adding the following new section:

§ 120.139 Tolerances for residue of diethyl diphenyl dichloroethane. A tolerance of 15 parts per million for residues of diethyl diphenyl dichloroethane is established in or on each of the following raw agricultural commodities: Cherries, lettuce, spinach.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may, at any time prior to the thirtieth day from the effective date thereof, file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington 25, D. C., written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by this order, specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and reasonable grounds for the objections, and request a public hearing upon the objections. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in quintuplicate.

**Effective date.** This order shall be effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 701, 52 Stat. 1055, as amended; 21 U. S. C. 371. Interpret or apply sec. 403, 68 Stat. 511; 21 U. S. C. 345a)

Dated: July 12, 1956.

[SEAL] GEO. P. LARRICK,  
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5809; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:51 a. m.]

#### Subchapter C—Drugs

#### PART 141a—PENICILLIN- AND PENICILLIN-CONTAINING DRUGS; TESTS AND METHODS OF ASSAY

#### PART 141d—CHLORAMPHENICOL AND CHLORAMPHENICOL-CONTAINING DRUGS; TESTS AND METHODS OF ASSAY

#### PART 146—GENERAL REGULATIONS FOR THE CERTIFICATION OF ANTIBIOTIC AND ANTIBIOTIC-CONTAINING DRUGS

#### PART 146a—CERTIFICATION OF PENICILLIN AND PENICILLIN-CONTAINING DRUGS

#### PART 146c—CERTIFICATION OF CHLORTETRACYCLINE (OR TETRACYCLINE) AND CHLORTETRACYCLINE- (OR TETRACYCLINE-) CONTAINING DRUGS

#### MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare by the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463, 61 Stat. 11, 63 Stat. 409, 67 Stat. 389; sec. 701, 52 Stat. 1055; 21 U. S. C. 357, 371) and delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs by the Secretary (20 F. R. 1996), the regulations for tests and methods of assay for antibiotic and antibiotic-containing drugs (21 CFR Parts 141a, 141d) and certification of antibiotic and antibiotic-containing drugs (21 CFR Parts 146, 146a, 146c; 21 F. R. 1511, 2237, 3498) are amended as indicated below:

1. Section 141a.35 *Penicillin-streptomycin ointment* \* \* \* is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (a) *Potency* subparagraph (1) is amended to read as follows:

(1) *Total penicillin content.* Proceed as directed in § 141a.8 (a) or § 141a.5 (d) (1), except that if the iodometric

chemical assay described in § 141a.5 (d) (1) is used prepare the sample as follows: Accurately measure two representative portions of the sample, each equivalent to from 100,000 units to 500,000 units (estimated). Place one portion in a centrifuge tube containing 10.0 milliliters of 1 percent phosphate buffer, pH 6.0, and 10.0 milliliters of chloroform for each 100,000 units. Shake the tube for 1 minute and centrifuge to obtain a substantially clear buffer layer. Dilute 5.0 milliliters of the buffer layer to 25.0 milliliters, using 1-percent phosphate buffer, pH 6.0, and mix. Use 2.0 milliliters of this solution as the blank. Place the second portion of the sample in a centrifuge tube containing 10.0 milliliters of 1 N NaOH and 10.0 milliliters of chloroform for each 100,000 units. Shake the tube for 1 minute and allow to stand for 15 minutes. Shake the tube and centrifuge to obtain a substantially clear NaOH layer. Dilute 5.0 milliliters of the NaOH layer to 25.0 milliliters, using 1 N NaOH, and mix. Use 2.0 milliliters of this solution as the inactivated solution. From the titration data calculate the amount of penicillin in the sample. Its content of penicillin is satisfactory if it contains not less than 85 percent of the number of units that it is represented to contain.

b. Paragraph (a) is further amended by renumbering subparagraphs (2) and (3) as (4) and (5), respectively, and inserting new subparagraphs (2) and (3), reading as follows, between subparagraph (1) and renumbered subparagraph (4):

(2) *Crystalline sodium penicillin or potassium penicillin content*—(1) *Preparation of the solution for assay.* Accurately measure a representative portion of the sample equivalent to from 100,000 units to 500,000 units of crystalline sodium penicillin or potassium penicillin and place it in a centrifuge tube containing 10.0 milliliters of 20-percent sodium sulfate solution and 10.0 milliliters of chloroform for each 100,000 units. Shake the tube for 1 minute and then centrifuge to obtain a substantially clear aqueous layer. Dilute a 5.0-milliliter aliquot of the aqueous layer to 25.0 milliliters with 20-percent sodium sulfate solution and mix to obtain the solution for assay.

(ii) *Iodometric assay for total penicillin in the solution for assay.* Determine the quantity of penicillin in the solution for assay by the iodometric assay procedure described in § 141a.5 (d) (1).

(iii) *Colorimetric determination of procaine penicillin in the solution for assay.* If the sample does not contain sulfonamides, determine the procaine penicillin in the solution for assay by the colorimetric procedure described in § 141a.32 (b) (3). If the sample contains sulfonamides proceed as follows: Place 10.0 milliliters of the solution for assay in a separatory funnel containing 2 milliliters of 1 N NaOH and 10.0 milliliters of chloroform and shake for 1 minute. Allow the layers to separate and collect the lower chloroform layer in a cylinder containing 10.0 milliliters of 4 N HCl. Shake for 1 minute and

allow the layers to separate. Using the upper acid layer as the solution for assay, determine the procaine penicillin content by the colorimetric procedure described in § 141a.32 (b) (3).

(iv) The content of crystalline sodium or potassium penicillin in the sample is calculated as follows:

$$A = (B - C)F,$$

where:

A = crystalline sodium penicillin or potassium penicillin content of the sample.

B = total number of units of penicillin per milliliter as determined in subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph.

C = number of units of procaine penicillin per milliliter as determined in subdivision (iii) of this subparagraph.

F = appropriate dilution factor depending on the dilution made in the preparation of the solution for assay and the size of the representative portion of the sample tested.

Its content of crystalline sodium penicillin or potassium penicillin is satisfactory if it contains not less than 85 percent of the number of units that it is represented to contain.

(3) *Procaine penicillin content.* The procaine penicillin content of the sample is the difference between the total penicillin content determined in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph and the crystalline sodium penicillin or potassium penicillin content determined in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph. Its content of procaine penicillin is satisfactory if it contains not less than 85 percent of the number of units that it is represented to contain.

2. In § 141d.303 *Chloramphenicol ointment; potency*, paragraph (b) is amended by changing the words "peroxide-free" to read "petroleum".

3. In § 141d.306 *Chloramphenicol palmitate oral suspension*, paragraph (a) *Potency* is amended by changing the first two sentences to read as follows: "Using a hypodermic syringe, transfer 1.0 milliliter of the suspension to a separatory funnel containing 25 milliliters of chloroform and shake for 1 minute. Add 10 milliliters of water, shake for 1 minute, allow the layers to separate, and filter the lower chloroform layer through a pledget of cotton."

4. Section 146.26 *Animal feed containing penicillin* \* \* \* is amended as follows:

a. Paragraph (b) (15) is amended by changing the words "and hexamitiasis" to read "hexamitiasis, and quail disease (ulcerative enteritis)".

b. In paragraph (b), subparagraph (15) (iii) is amended by inserting after the word "hexamitiasis" the following new words: "and quail disease (ulcerative enteritis)".

c. Paragraph (b) (25) is changed to read as follows:

(25) It is intended for use solely as an aid in the reduction of bacterial diarrhea in beef calves or as an aid in the prevention or treatment of bacterial pneumonia and shipping fever (hemorrhagic septicemia) in calves, and it contains a quantity of chlortetracycline adequate to provide 500 milligrams per head per day

when fed in accordance with the directions for use that accompany the feed; except that if it is intended for use as an aid in the reduction of bacterial diarrhea it contains a quantity of chlortetracycline adequate to provide 0.1 milligram per pound of body weight per day.

d. Paragraph (d) (26) is amended by redesignating the context of the section as subdivision (i) and adding to the section a new subdivision numbered (ii), reading as follows:

(ii) It is also intended for the prevention or treatment of the diseases specified in subparagraph (25) of this paragraph, it contains diethylstilbestrol in the amount and under the conditions set forth in subdivision (i) of this subparagraph, and it contains the antibiotic in the amount specified in subparagraph (25) of this paragraph.

5. Section 146a.54 *Penicillin-streptomycin ointment* \* \* \* is amended as follows:

a. Paragraph (a) (1) is changed to read as follows:

(1) It contains not less than 2,000 units of one or more kinds of penicillin salt per gram.

b. In paragraph (b) the words "units of penicillin" are changed to read "units of each salt of penicillin".

c. Paragraph (c) is amended by inserting immediately following the words "six packages" the following new words: "or if it contains two or more kinds of penicillin salt, 7 packages."

6. In § 146c.205 *Chlortetracycline powder* \* \* \*, paragraph (f) *Exemption of chlortetracycline powder* \* \* \* is amended by adding the following new subdivisions to subparagraph (5):

(vii) Bacterial pneumonia in calves.  
(viii) Shipping fever (hemorrhagic septicemia) in calves.

7. In § 146c.219 *Crude chlortetracycline oral veterinary*, paragraph (f) *Exemption of crude chlortetracycline* \* \* \* is amended by adding the following new subdivisions to subparagraph (4):

(vi) Bacterial pneumonia in calves.  
(vii) Shipping fever (hemorrhagic septicemia) in calves.

Notice and public procedure are not necessary prerequisites to the promulgation of this order, and I so find, since it was drawn in collaboration with interested members of the affected industry, since it relaxes existing requirements, and since it would be against public interest to delay providing for the amendments set forth above.

I further find that animal feeds containing antibiotic drugs need not comply with the requirements of sections 502 (1) and 507 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act in order to insure their safety and efficacy provided they comply with the conditions specifically set forth in these amendments.

**Effective date.** This order shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, since both the public and the affected industry will benefit by the earliest effective date, and I so find.

(Sec. 701, 52 Stat. 1055, as amended, 21 U. S. C. 371, Interpret or apply sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463, as amended; 21 U. S. C. 357)

Dated: July 12, 1956.

[SEAL] GEO. P. LARRICK,  
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5810; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:51 a.m.]

## TITLE 24—HOUSING AND HOUSING CREDIT

### Chapter II—Federal Housing Administration, Housing and Home Finance Agency

#### Subchapter D—Multifamily and Group Housing Insurance

##### PART 232—MULTIFAMILY HOUSING INSURANCE; ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS OF MORTGAGE COVERING MULTIFAMILY HOUSING

##### PART 233—RENTAL HOUSING INSURANCE, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF MORTGAGEE

##### MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

In § 232.18 paragraph (c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 232.18 *In general.* \* \* \*

(c) In the case of a private corporation, the Commissioner's regulations or restrictions will be set forth in its certificate of incorporation or charter under which such mortgagor is created, and will be made effective through the issuance of certain shares of special stock, which stock will acquire majority voting rights in the event of default under the mortgage or violation of a provision of the charter. Such special stock or interest issued to the Commissioner, his nominee or nominees and/or the Federal Housing Administration shall be in sufficient amount to constitute under the laws of the particular state a valid special class of stock or interest and shall be issued in consideration of the payment by the Commissioner of not exceeding in the aggregate \$100. Such stock shall be represented by a certificate or certificates issued in the name of the Commissioner, and/or in the name of his nominee or nominees, and/or in the name of the Federal Housing Administration, as the Commissioner shall require. In the case of a private association or trust entity, or whenever, for any reason satisfactory to the Commissioner, such regulations or restrictions are not feasible as to a particular mortgagor through the issuance of shares of special stock, such regulations or restrictions shall be effected by means of a regulatory agreement and/or other contractual documents between the Commissioner and the mortgagor in such form and in such manner as shall be satisfactory to the Commissioner. Upon the termination of all obligations of the Commissioner under his contract of mortgage insurance, or any succeeding contract or agreement covering the mortgage obligation, all regulations and restrictions of the mortgagor shall cease, the shares of special stock shall be surrendered by the Commissioner upon reimbursement of his payments therefor, plus accrued

dividends, if any, thereon, and any regulatory agreement or contract shall terminate.

In § 232.19 paragraph (a), paragraph (b), paragraph (f) (3), the introductory text of paragraph (f) (5), and paragraph (f) (5) (iii) are amended, and paragraph (f) (1) and paragraph (f) (5) (ii) are revoked as follows:

§ 232.19 *Required supervision of private mortgagors.* \* \* \*

(a) *Capital structure.* (1) The number of shares of capital stock, in the case of a corporation, may be issued in such amounts and form as may be agreed upon by the sponsors and the Commissioner prior to the endorsement of the mortgage for insurance; and

(2) In the case of a trust entity beneficial certificates of interest may be issued in such amounts and form as may be agreed upon by the mortgagor and the Commissioner.

(b) *Rate of return.* Dividends or other distributions as defined in the charter, trust agreement, or regulatory agreement, may be declared only as of and after the end of an annual or semi-annual fiscal period. No dividends or other distributions shall be declared or authorized except out of surplus cash legally available and remaining after (1) the payment of all amounts due or required to be paid under the terms of any mortgage insured or held by the Commissioner up to the end of the applicable dividend period; (2) all amounts due to the Reserve for Replacements Fund; (3) the segregation of funds for the payment of all operating expenses, security deposits held, taxes, assessments, fixed charges, whether due or accrued; and (4) similar provision for any liabilities currently due and arising as a result of necessary expenditures incident to the normal operations of the project. No distributions of any kind may be made from borrowed funds.

(f) *Methods of operation.* (1) [Revoked.]

(3) A Fund for Replacements shall be accumulated and maintained with the mortgagor and the amount and type of such Fund and the conditions under which it shall be accumulated, replenished and used, shall be specified in the charter, trust agreement, or regulatory agreement.

(5) The mortgagor shall execute and deliver to the Commissioner a certificate that the books and accounts of the mortgagor will be established and maintained in a manner satisfactory to the Commissioner on the date the certificate is executed. Such certificate shall be to the effect that so long as the mortgage is insured or held by the Commissioner the mortgagor's books and accounts will be kept in accordance with the requirements of the Commissioner; will be in such form as to permit a speedy and effective audit and as may otherwise be prescribed by the Commissioner; will be maintained for such periods of time as may be prescribed by the Commissioner, and will be available to him for such ex-

amination and audits which he may desire to make. The mortgagor shall file with the Commissioner and mortgagee the following reports verified by the signature of such officers of the mortgagor as the Commissioner may designate and in such form as prescribed by the Commissioner:

(ii) [Revoked.]

(iii) Complete annual financial reports based upon examinations of the books and records of the mortgagor, prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Commissioner, certified to by an officer of the mortgagor and, when required by the Commissioner, prepared and certified by a Certified Public Accountant (or other person acceptable to the Commissioner), such reports to be submitted within sixty (60) days after the end of each fiscal year.

In § 232.26 paragraph (b) is amended and a new paragraph (c) is added as follows:

§ 232.26 *Certificate of actual cost.*

(b) When the work has been completed under a contract as described in § 232.25 (b), the mortgagor's certification shall be on the form prescribed therefor by the Commissioner and shall indicate all amounts as required in paragraph (a) of this section, plus the allowance for the builder's fee as established by the Commissioner. This form of certification shall be accompanied by a certification by the builder on the form prescribed therefor by the Commissioner, indicating all actual costs paid for labor, materials, and subcontract work under the general contract exclusive of the builder's fee and less any kickbacks, rebates, trade discounts, or other similar payments to the builder or mortgagor corporation or any of its officers, directors, or stockholders. The mortgagor shall keep and make available records as required in paragraph (a) of this section and shall in turn require the builder to keep available similar records.

(c) The certificates of actual cost shall be supported by a certificate as to accuracy by an independent Certified Public Accountant or independent public accountant, which shall include a statement that the accounts, records and supporting documents have been examined in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards to the extent deemed necessary to verify the actual costs.

Section 233.5 is amended by adding at the end thereof a new paragraph (d) as follows:

§ 233.5 *Defaults.* \* \* \*

(d) For the purposes of this section the date of default shall be considered as:

(1) The date of the first uncorrected failure to perform a covenant or obligation; or

(2) The date of the first failure to make a monthly payment which subsequent payments by the mortgagor are insufficient to cover when applied to the overdue monthly payments in the order in which they became due.

(Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23; U. S. C. 1715b. Interpret or apply sec. 207, 52 Stat. 16, as amended; 12 U. S. C. 1713)

Issued at Washington, D. C., July 13, 1956.

[SEAL] NORMAN P. MASON,  
Federal Housing Commissioner.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5812; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:52 a. m.]

## TITLE 26—INTERNAL REVENUE, 1954

### Chapter I—Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury

#### Subchapter F—Procedure and Administration [T. D. 6191]

#### PART 301—PROCEDURE AND ADMINISTRATION

##### PAYMENT OF TAXES BY FOREIGN CURRENCY

On May 19, 1956, notice of proposed rule making regarding the regulations under section 6316 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, relating to payment of taxes by foreign currency, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (21 F. R. 3315). After consideration of all such relevant matter as was presented by interested persons regarding the rules proposed, the following regulations, applicable with respect to the tax for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 1955, are hereby adopted:

##### Sec.

- 301.6316 Statutory provisions; payment by foreign currency.
- 301.6316-1 Persons entitled to pay tax in foreign currency.
- 301.6316-2 Definitions.
- 301.6316-3 Allocation of tax attributable to foreign currency.
- 301.6316-4 Return requirements.
- 301.6316-5 Manner of paying tax by foreign currency.
- 301.6316-6 Declarations of estimated tax.
- 301.6316-7 Refunds and credits in foreign currency.
- 301.6316-8 Interest, additions to tax, etc.

AUTHORITY: §§ 301.6316 to 301.6316-8 issued under sec. 7805, 68A Stat. 917; 26 U. S. C. 7805. Interpret or apply sec. 6316, 68A Stat. 778; 26 U. S. C. 6316.

##### § 301.6316 *Statutory provisions; payment by foreign currency.*

Sec. 6316. *Payment by foreign currency.* The Secretary or his delegate is authorized in his discretion to allow payment of taxes in the currency of a foreign country under such circumstances and subject to such conditions as the Secretary or his delegate may by regulations prescribe.

§ 301.6316-1 *Persons entitled to pay tax in foreign currency.* Subject to the provisions of §§ 301.6316-3 to 301.6316-5, inclusive, that portion of the tax which is attributable to amounts received by a citizen of the United States in nonconvertible foreign currency disbursed from funds made available to a foundation or commission established in a foreign country pursuant to an agreement entered into under the authority of section 32 (b) of the Surplus Property Act of 1944, as amended, 50 U. S. C. App. 1641 (b) (2), may be paid in that currency if:

(a) The amounts so received (1) constitute either a grant made for the authorized purposes of the agreement or

compensation for personal services performed in the employ of the foundation or commission and (2) are treated as income from sources without the United States under the provisions of sections 861 to 864, inclusive, and the regulations thereunder; and

(b) At least 75 percent of the entire amount of the grant or compensation paid to the citizen by that foundation or commission is paid in such nonconvertible foreign currency.

§ 301.6316-2 *Definitions.* For purposes of §§ 301.6316-1 to 301.6316-7, inclusive:

(a) The term "tax" means the income tax imposed for the taxable year by chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954.

(b) The term "nonconvertible foreign currency" means currency of the government of a foreign country which, owing to (1) monetary, exchange, or other restrictions imposed by the foreign country, (2) an agreement entered into with the United States of America, or (3) the terms and conditions of the United States Government grant, is not convertible into United States dollars or into other money which is convertible into United States dollars. The term shall not, however, include currency which, notwithstanding such restrictions, agreement, terms, or conditions, is in fact converted into United States dollars or into property which is readily disposable for United States dollars.

(c) If the taxpayer computes taxable income under the accrual method, then the term "received" shall be construed to mean "accrued".

§ 301.6316-3 *Allocation of tax attributable to foreign currency—(a) Adjusted gross income ratio.* The portion of the tax which is attributable to amounts received in nonconvertible foreign currency shall, for purposes of applying § 301.6316-1 to the currency of each foreign country, be the amount by which:

(1) The amount which bears the same ratio to the entire tax for the taxable year as (i) the taxpayer's adjusted gross income received in that currency bears to (ii) the adjusted gross income determined under section 62 by taking into account the entire gross income and all deductions allowable under that section without distinction as to amounts received in foreign currency, exceeds

(2) The total of the allowable credits against tax, and payments on account of tax, which are properly allocable to the amount of that currency included in gross income.

(b) *Example.* (1) For the calendar year 1955 Mr. Jones and his wife filed a joint return on which the adjusted gross income is as follows, after amounts received in foreign currency had been properly translated into United States dollars for tax computation purposes:

Fulbright grant received by Mr. Jones in nonconvertible foreign currency.	\$8,000
Dividends received by Mr. Jones entitled to dividends-received credit.	500
Compensation for personal services of Mrs. Jones.	3,000
Net profit from business carried on by Mrs. Jones.	2,500

Total adjusted gross income..... 14,000

(2) The following amounts are allowable as properly deductible from adjusted gross income, no determination being made as to whether or not any part of them is properly allocable to the Fulbright grant:

Deduction for personal exemptions	\$3,000
Charitable contributions	500
Interest expense	400
Taxes	300

Total allowable deductions..... 4,200

(3) For the taxable year the following amounts are allowable as credits against the tax, or as payments on account of the tax:

Foreign tax credit for foreign taxes paid on Fulbright grant	\$300.00
Dividends-received credit	20.00
Credit for income tax withheld upon compensation of Mrs. Jones	304.80
Payments of estimated tax (see § 301.6316-6 (b) (2) for determination of amounts):	
United States dollars	\$426.32
Foreign currency	893.88
	1,320.20

Total allowable credits and payments..... 1,945.00

(4) The portion of the tax which is attributable to amounts received in nonconvertible foreign currency is \$33.49, determined as follows:

Adjusted gross income	\$14,000.00
Less: Allowable deductions	4,200.00
Taxable income	9,800.00

Tax computed under section 2	\$2,148.00
Ratio of adjusted gross income received in nonconvertible foreign currency to entire adjusted gross income (\$8,000÷\$14,000) (percent)	57.14

Portion of tax attributable to nonconvertible foreign currency (\$2,148×57.14 percent)	1,227.37
Less:	

Credit for foreign taxes paid on Fulbright grant	\$300.00
Payment in foreign currency of estimated tax	893.88
	1,193.88

Portion of tax attributable to amounts received in nonconvertible foreign currency	33.49
--	-------

#### § 301.6316-4 Return requirements—

(a) *Place for filing.* A return of income which includes amounts received in foreign currency on which the tax is paid in accordance with § 301.6316-1 shall be filed with the Director of International Operations, Internal Revenue Service, Washington 25, D. C. For the time for filing income tax returns, see sections 6072 and 6081 and the regulations thereunder.

(b) *Statements required.* (1) A statement, certified by the United States educational foundation or commission, shall be attached to the return showing that for the taxable year involved the taxpayer is entitled to pay tax in foreign currency in accordance with section 6316 and the regulations thereunder. This statement shall disclose the amount

of the grant or compensation received by the taxpayer during the taxable year under the authority of section 32 (b) of the Surplus Property Act of 1944, as amended, and the amount thereof paid in nonconvertible foreign currency. It shall also state that with respect to the grant or compensation the percentage requirement of paragraph (b) of § 301.6316-1 is satisfied.

(2) The taxpayer shall also attach to the return a detailed statement showing (i) the computation, in the manner prescribed by § 301.6316-3, of the portion of the tax attributable to amounts received in nonconvertible foreign currency and (ii) the rates of exchange used in determining the tax liability in United States dollars. See paragraph (c) of § 301.6316-5.

§ 301.6316-5 *Manner of paying tax by foreign currency—*(a) *Time and place to pay.* The unpaid tax required to be shown on a return filed in accordance with § 301.6316-4, whether payable in whole or in part in foreign currency, is due and payable to the Director of International Operations, Internal Revenue Service, Washington 25, D. C., at the time the return is filed. However, see paragraph (d) of this section with respect to the depositing of the foreign currency with the disbursing officer of the Department of State.

(b) *Certified statement.* Every taxpayer who desires to pay tax in foreign currency under the provisions of § 301.6316-1 shall first obtain the certified statement referred to in paragraph (b) (1) of § 301.6316-4.

(c) *Determination of the tax.* In determining the tax payable for the taxable year in United States dollars, the taxpayer shall use the rates of exchange which most clearly reflect the correct tax liability in dollars, whether it be the official rate, the open market rate, or any other appropriate rate. After determining the correct tax liability in United States dollars the taxpayer shall then ascertain, in accordance with the principles of § 301.6316-3, the portion of the tax which is attributable to amounts received in nonconvertible foreign currency.

(d) *Deposit of foreign currency with disbursing officer.* (1) After the portion of the tax which is attributable to amounts received in nonconvertible foreign currency is determined in United States dollars, the amount so determined shall be deposited in the same nonconvertible foreign currency with the disbursing officer of the Department of State for the foreign country in which the foundation or commission paying the grant or compensation is located. The amount of foreign currency to be deposited shall be that amount which, when converted at the rate of exchange used on the date of deposit by that disbursing officer for the acquisition of such currency for his official disbursements, equals the portion of the tax so determined in United States dollars.

(2) The disbursing officer may rely upon the taxpayer for the determination of the amount of tax payable in foreign currency but may not accept any such currency for deposit until the taxpayer

has presented for inspection the certified statement referred to in paragraph (b) (1) of § 301.6316-4. Upon acceptance of foreign currency for deposit the disbursing officer shall give the taxpayer a receipt in duplicate showing the name and address of the depositor, the date of the deposit, the amount of foreign currency deposited, and its equivalent in United States dollars on the date of deposit.

(3) Every taxpayer making a deposit of foreign currency in accordance with this paragraph shall attach to the return required to be filed in accordance with § 301.6316-4, in part or full payment of the taxes shown thereon, the original of the receipt given by the disbursing officer and shall pay to the Director of International Operations in United States dollars the balance, if any, of the tax shown to be due. Tender of such receipt to the Director of International Operations shall be considered as payment of tax in an amount equal to the United States dollars represented by the receipt.

(4) A taxpayer shall make the deposit required by this paragraph in ample time to permit him to attach the receipt to his return for filing within the time prescribed by section 6072 or 6081 and the regulations thereunder.

#### § 301.6316-6 *Declarations of estimated tax—*(a) *Filing of declaration.*

A declaration of estimated tax in respect of amounts on which the tax is to be paid in foreign currency under the provisions of § 301.6316-1 shall be filed with the Director of International Operations, Internal Revenue Service, Washington 25, D. C., and shall have attached thereto the statements required by paragraph (b) (1) and (2) (1) of § 301.6316-4 in respect of the tax return except that the statement certified by the foundation or commission may be based upon amounts expected to be received by the taxpayer during the taxable year if they are not in fact known at the time of certification. A copy of this certified statement shall be retained by the taxpayer for the purpose of exhibiting it to the disbursing officer when making installment deposits of foreign currency under the provisions of paragraph (c) of this section. For the time for filing declarations of estimated tax, see sections 6073 and 6081 and the regulations thereunder.

#### (b) *Determination of estimated tax—*

(1) *Allocation of tax attributable to foreign currency.* In determining the amount of estimated tax for purposes of this section, all items of income, deduction, and credit, whether or not attributable to amounts received in nonconvertible foreign currency, shall be taken into account. The portion of the estimated tax which is attributable to amounts to be received during the taxable year in nonconvertible foreign currency shall be determined consistently with the manner prescribed by § 301.6316-3.

(2) *Example.* (i) For the calendar year 1955 Mr. Jones and his wife filed a joint declaration of estimated tax in the determination of which the adjusted gross income was estimated to be as follows, after amounts to be received in foreign currency had been properly

translated into United States dollars for tax computation purposes:

Fulbright grant to be received by Mr. Jones in nonconvertible foreign currency	\$8,000
Dividends to be received by Mr. Jones entitled to dividends-received credit	375
Compensation to be received by Mrs. Jones for personal services	3,000
Net profit to be derived from business carried on by Mrs. Jones	1,625

Total estimated adjusted gross income 13,000

(ii) The following amounts were determined to be allowable as properly deductible from estimated adjusted gross income, no determination being made as to whether or not any part of them was properly allocable to the Fulbright grant:

Deduction for personal exemptions	\$3,000
Charitable contributions	300
Interest expense	400
Taxes	300

Total allowable deductions 4,000

(iii) The following estimated amounts were determined to be allowable as credits against the tax for the taxable year:

Foreign tax credit for foreign taxes to be paid on Fulbright grant	\$300.00
Credit for income tax expected to be withheld upon compensation of Mrs. Jones	304.80
Dividends-received credit	15.00

Total allowable estimated credits 619.80

(iv) The portion of the estimated tax which is attributable to amounts to be received during the taxable year in nonconvertible foreign currency is \$893.88, determined as follows:

Estimated adjusted gross income	\$13,000.00
Less: Allowable deductions	4,000.00

Estimated taxable income 9,000.00

Tax computed under section 2	\$1,940.00
Ratio of estimated adjusted gross income to be received in nonconvertible foreign currency to entire estimated adjusted gross income (\$8,000 ÷ \$13,000) (percent)	61.54

Portion of above tax attributable to nonconvertible foreign currency (\$1,940 × 61.54 percent)	1,193.88
Less: Credit for foreign taxes expected to be paid on Fulbright grant	300.00

Portion of estimated tax which is attributable to amounts to be received during the taxable year in nonconvertible foreign currency	893.88
---	--------

(v) The portion of the estimated tax which is payable in United States dollars is \$426.32, determined as follows:

Tax computed under section 2	\$1,940.00
Less: Total allowable estimated credits	619.80

Total estimated tax 1,320.20

Less: Portion of estimated tax payable in foreign currency	893.88
--	--------

Portion of estimated tax payable in United States dollars	426.32
---	--------

(c) *Payment of estimated tax.* (1) The provisions of § 301.6316-5 relating to the certified statement, determination of the tax, and the depositing of the foreign currency shall apply for purposes of this section. The full amount of estimated tax payable in foreign currency, as determined under paragraph (b) of this section, may be deposited before the date prescribed for the payment thereof.

(2) Every taxpayer making a deposit of foreign currency in accordance with this paragraph shall tender to the Director of International Operations, Internal Revenue Service, Washington 25, D. C., the original of the receipt from the disbursing officer as payment, to the extent of the amount represented thereby in United States dollars, of the estimated tax. For the dates prescribed for the payment of estimated tax, see sections 6153 and 6161 and the regulations thereunder. A taxpayer should make the deposit required by this paragraph in ample time to permit him to tender such receipt by the date prescribed for payment of the estimated tax.

(d) *Credit on return for the taxable year.* The receipt given by the disbursing officer of the Department of State and tendered in payment of estimated tax under this section shall, for purposes of paragraph (a) (2) of § 301.6316-3, be considered as payment on account of the tax for the taxable year. The amount so considered to be paid shall be the amount in United States dollars represented by the receipt.

§ 301.6316-7 *Refunds and credits in foreign currency.* (a) *Refunds.* The refund of any overpayment of tax which has been paid under section 6316 in foreign currency may, in the discretion of the Commissioner, be made in the same foreign currency by which the tax was paid. The amount of any such refund made in foreign currency shall be the amount of the overpayment in United States dollars converted, on the date of the refund check, at the rate of exchange then used for his official disbursements by the disbursing officer of the Department of State in the country where the foreign currency was originally deposited.

(b) *Credits.* Unless otherwise in the best interest of the Internal Revenue Service, no credit of any overpayment of tax which has been paid under section 6316 in foreign currency shall be allowed against any outstanding liability of the person making the overpayment except in respect of that portion of the liability which, in accordance with § 301.6316-1, would otherwise be permitted to be paid in the same foreign currency.

§ 301.6316-8 *Interest, additions to tax, etc.* Any reference in §§ 301.6316-1 to 301.6316-7, inclusive, to "tax" shall be deemed also to refer to the interest, additions to the tax, additional amounts, and penalties attributable to the tax.

[SEAL] RUSSELL C. HARRINGTON,  
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: July 16, 1956.

DAN THROOP SMITH,  
Special Assistant to the Secretary  
in Charge of Tax Policy.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5811; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:51 a. m.]

## TITLE 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE

### Chapter V—Department of the Army

#### Subchapter F—Personnel

#### PART 574—UNITED STATES SOLDIERS' HOME

A new Part 574 is added, to read as follows:

Sec.	
574.1	Statutory authority.
574.2	Home benefits.
574.3	Persons eligible for admission to the Home.
574.4	Persons ineligible for admission to the Home.
574.5	Procedure for admission.

AUTHORITY: §§ 574.1 to 574.5 issued under R. S. 4815, as amended; 24 U. S. C. 41.  
SOURCE: AR 905-10.

§ 574.1 *Statutory authority.* The basic statutory authority for establishment of the United States Soldiers' Home is contained in the act of March 3, 1851 (9 Stat. 595) and the act of March 3, 1883 (22 Stat. 564).

§ 574.2 *Home benefits.* The United States Soldiers' Home provides a home and other benefits authorized by law for its members. Some of the important home benefits and emoluments are as follows:

- Suitable accommodations in barracks.
- Subsistence.
- Medical, dental, and hospital care.
- Furnishing of prescribed civilian clothing.
- Laundry and dry-cleaning service.
- Payment of pension money in cash, with no requirement for surrender of such pension money, retired pay, or other compensation, to the Home.

§ 574.3 *Persons eligible for admission to the Home.* (a) The following personnel are eligible for admission to the Home, except as indicated in § 574.4:

(1) *Over twenty years' service.* Every enlisted person or warrant officer of the United States Army or United States Air Force who has had some service as an enlisted man, enlisted woman, or warrant officer in the Regular Component of the United States Army or United States Air Force and who has served or who may serve, honestly and faithfully, 20 years or more; provided, that in computing the necessary 20 years' time, all full-time active military service in the Army or Air Force, whether or not as a member of the regular components thereof, shall be credited. Service in the Navy or Marine Corps, or as a commissioned officer cannot be counted.

(2) *Service-connected disability.* Every enlisted person or warrant officer of the United States Army or United States Air Force, whether or not as a member of the regular components therefore, who has had some service as an enlisted man, enlisted woman, or warrant officer in the Regular Component of the United States Army or United States Air Force, rendered incapable of earning his own livelihood by reason of disease or wounds incurred in the military service of the United States, and in line of duty and not the result

of his own misconduct. Individuals under 50 years of age are temporarily admitted to the Home until a medical board determines that they are in fact incapable of earning their own livelihood as the result of the service-connected disability. These individuals are reexamined semiannually until they reach 50 years of age, and if after any such examination it is determined that they are capable of earning their own livelihood, they are discharged from the Home. Individuals who have been discharged from the Home as the result of any such examination may subsequently become eligible for readmission if they again qualify under the regulations of this part.

(b) Any service with an organization of the Regular Army during World War I is sufficient to meet the requirements of some service as an enlisted man or warrant officer in the Regular Component of the United States Army or United States Air Force, required by paragraph (a) of this section.

§ 574.4 *Persons ineligible for admission to the Home.* The benefits of the United States Soldiers' Home will not be extended to any enlisted person or warrant officer convicted of a felony or other disgraceful or infamous crime of a civil nature after his admission into the service of the United States; nor shall anyone who has been a deserter, mutineer, or habitual drunkard be received, without such evidence of subsequent service, good conduct and reformation of character as is satisfactory to the commissioners.

§ 574.5 *Procedure for admission—(a)*

*Application by personnel on active duty.*

(1) Any enlisted person or warrant officer of the United States Army or United States Air Force on active duty may submit an application for admission directly to the Home in substantially the following form no less than 3 months prior to the date the individual believes that he or she meets the eligibility requirements.

-----  
(Street address)  
-----  
(City and State)  
-----  
(Date)

THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS,  
U. S. Soldiers' Home,  
Washington 25, D. C.

DEAR SIR: I, -----

Print (Last (First (Middle  
name) name) name)  
born in ----- on -----  
(Service No.) (Place) (Date)  
hereby apply for admission to membership  
in the United States Soldiers' Home, Wash-  
ington, D. C. My military service has been  
as follows: -----

Inclusive dates of each enlistment or period  
of service as a warrant officer, or commis-  
sioned officer.

Type of service (Enl, W. O., Com'd) -----  
Grades held -----  
Organizations with which assigned -----  
Character of discharge -----  
Sincerely yours, -----

-----  
(Signature)  
-----  
(Grade and Service No.)

(2) If the application for admission is submitted by reason of disability incurred in line of duty, the application must be accompanied by a certificate from a medical officer of the Armed Forces as to whether or not in his judgment the person is able to earn a living in civilian life.

(b) *Application by personnel not on active duty.* Personnel no longer on active duty who believe that they meet the eligibility requirements for admission to the Home may submit their application for admission directly to the Board of Commissioners of the United States Soldiers' Home in the manner specified in paragraph (a) of this section. If the application is based upon service-connected disability, a statement from a physician concerning the nature and degree of the disability must be submitted with the application.

(c) *Letter of authority for admission.*

(1) After determination by the Board of Commissioners that the applicant is eligible for admission, a letter of authority for admission or temporary admission will be mailed to the individual concerned. This letter of authority is normally valid for a period of 60 days after date thereof. If admission for any reason may not be accomplished by the applicant within the 60-day period, applicant must communicate with the Secretary of the Board of Commissioners, United States Soldiers' Home, for extension of this period citing justification therefor, or otherwise be dropped from the list of approved applicants.

(2) A copy of the letter of authority must be submitted with the application for retirement of individuals on active duty in order that they may be retired, whenever possible, in sufficient time to insure admission to the Home within the period specified.

[SEAL]

JOHN A. KLEIN,  
Major General, U. S. Army,  
The Adjutant General.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5786; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:46 a. m.]

## TITLE 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

### Chapter I—Bureau of Land Manage- ment, Department of the Interior

#### Appendix—Public Land Orders

[Public Land Order 1313]

[48317]

ALASKA

PARTIALLY REVOKING PUBLIC LAND ORDER NO.  
715 OF APRIL 20, 1951, WHICH WITHDREW  
PUBLIC LANDS FOR USE OF DEPARTMENT OF  
THE AIR FORCE FOR MILITARY PURPOSES

By virtue of the authority vested in the  
President and pursuant to Executive Order  
No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is  
ordered as follows:

Public Land Order No. 715 of April  
20, 1951, which withdrew public lands in  
Alaska for use of the Department of the  
Air Force for military purposes, is hereby  
revoked so far as it affects the following-  
described lands:

Beginning at a point of which the geo-  
graphic position is latitude 71°00' N., longi-  
tude 156°57' W., thence South 6 miles; West  
12 miles; North 11 miles; East 12 miles; South  
5 miles to the point of beginning.

The area described contains 84,480  
acres.

Excepting, however, the following-  
described tract, which shall remain  
within the withdrawal created by Public  
Land Order No. 715:

Beginning at a point of which the geo-  
graphic position is latitude 71°00'40.50" N.,  
longitude 157°17'46.88" W., thence North  
1,000 feet to the point of beginning, thence  
East 1,000 feet; South 2,000 feet; West 2,000  
feet; North 2,000 feet; East 1,000 feet to the  
point of beginning.

The area described contains 91,82  
acres.

The released lands, aggregating  
84,388.18 acres, are subject to the reser-  
vations made by Executive Order No.  
3797-A of February 27, 1923, Public  
Land Order No. 82 of January 19, 1943,  
and Public Land Order No. 324 of August  
14, 1946.

WESLEY A. D'EWARD,  
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

JULY 13, 1956.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5786; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:46 a. m.]

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### Agricultural Marketing Service

##### [7 CFR Part 906 I]

[Docket No. AO-210-A7]

#### HANDLING OF MILK IN TULSA-MUSKOGEE, OKLAHOMA MARKETING AREA

#### DECISION WITH RESPECT TO PROPOSED MARKETING AGREEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER AMENDING ORDER, AS AMENDED

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agri-  
cultural Marketing Agreement Act of  
1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.),  
and the applicable rules of practice and  
procedure, as amended, governing pro-  
ceedings to formulate marketing agree-  
ments and marketing orders (7 CFR  
Part 900), a public hearing was con-  
ducted at Tulsa, Oklahoma on November  
29-30, 1955, pursuant to notice thereof  
which was issued on November 1, 1955  
(20 F. R. 8294).

Upon the basis of the evidence intro-  
duced at the hearing and the record  
thereof, the Deputy Administrator,  
Agricultural Marketing Service, on June  
20, 1956, filed with the Hearing Clerk,  
United States Department of Agricul-  
ture, his recommended decision and op-  
portunity to file written exceptions  
thereto was published in the FEDERAL  
REGISTER on June 23, 1956 (21 F. R.  
4515).

The material issues of the hearing  
related to:

1. The respective allocation of producer milk and other source milk to the classes of use in a handler's plant:

(a) When changes in the relationship of producer receipts to Class I sales occur within a month;

(b) When producer receipts are less than 115 percent of Class I sales for the month; or

(c) As affected by the classification and allocation of milk in inventory; and

2. The volume of milk for which a handler should receive location adjustment credit.

No evidence was presented in support of proposals contained in the notice of hearing to (a) delete the payment provisions applicable to handlers subject to other orders who distribute milk on routes in the marketing area, and (b) provide location adjustments or differential pricing to handlers and producers with respect to milk received at plants in certain portions of the marketing area.

**Findings and conclusions.** The following findings and conclusions are based on evidence received at the hearing and the record thereof:

1. **Allocation of other source milk.** The Tulsa-Muskogee order presently uses a monthly accounting period for classification, pricing, and pooling a handler's receipts of milk from producers. When a handler has both producer milk and other source milk in his plant the specific use made of milk from each source cannot be determined and it is necessary to determine the total classification of all of his receipts (including that milk on hand at the beginning of the accounting period). Because order class prices apply only to producer milk it is then necessary to allocate this gross classification among the receipts from various sources to determine the quantities of milk in each class to be charged the handler for current receipts of producer milk.

Except for an allowance in Class II for plant loss, the Class I sales for the month are, so far as possible, assigned to receipts of producer milk during the month and it is only as producer receipts exceed Class I sales that Class II uses, other than allowable shrinkage, are allocated to producer milk. Class I sales in excess of producer receipts are allocated first to milk on hand in inventory at the beginning of the month and then to current receipts of other source milk. Since milk on hand in inventory was classified as Class II milk in the preceding month, an additional charge to the handler is made whenever Class I sales are allocated to such milk for which producers were paid the Class II price in the preceding month. The order requires no compensatory payment with respect to other source milk (either as current receipts or in inventory) to which Class I sales are allocated under this system. The classification of producer milk in the preceding month determines whether milk in inventory is producer milk or other source milk.

Handlers proposed three changes in the order that would affect the allocation procedure and the resulting classification of and obligations for producer milk. These proposals were as follows:

(a) That under certain specified conditions a handler might have his monthly obligation computed on the basis of more than one accounting period within the month;

(b) That other source Grade A milk priced as Class I milk under another Federal order be allocated pro rata with producer milk whenever receipts of producer milk were less than 115 percent of a handler's route sales of Class I milk for the month; and

(c) That the provisions with respect to milk in inventory be deleted, and that only changes in the volume of milk in inventory be classified as Class II milk.

The first of these proposals would provide means whereby a closer time relationship might be required between receipts of producer milk and the Class I sales for which they are given priority of assignment; the second would require producers to supply a handler with a minimum proportion of Class II milk to maintain prior claim on the handler's Class I sales; and the third would afford current receipts of other source milk prior claim over producer milk in inventory to Class I sales in excess of current receipts of producer milk.

Handlers' testimony in support of the need for provision for shorter accounting periods related principally to situations prevailing in November 1954 and in April and August 1955 as a result of the failure of certain handlers and the cooperative association representing a majority of Tulsa-Muskogee producers to agree upon the conditions (including prices in excess of the minimum prices of the order) under which milk of member producers was to be delivered. In November 1954 some association milk was withheld for a time from certain handlers; from April 7, 1955, through August 9, 1955, no milk of association members was received by the largest handler in the market. For April 1955, all producer receipts (other than shrinkage) of this handler were classified as Class I milk, whereas during April 1-6 when only producer milk was received, some 240,000 pounds were used or disposed of for Class II purposes. In August 1-9, this handler received some 450,000 pounds of other source milk, but all Class I sales in August were allocated to producer milk. Another handler claimed that in November 1954 it was necessary for him to purchase more than 180,000 pounds of other source Grade A milk when milk was withheld, but that producer receipts for the month exceeded Class I sales by over 500,000 pounds so that all Class I sales were assigned to producer milk. This handler also cited November 1952 as a month in which some 57,000 pounds of other source milk were purchased but none of it was assigned to Class I. This was the only instance cited in which disruption of deliveries or their resumption was not involved.

Handlers proposed that a handler might have his obligations computed on the basis of a separate accounting period within a month upon request within 3 days following the close of such separate accounting period, and finding by the market administrator that the ratio of

producer receipts to Class I sales changed from more than 115 percent to less than 115 percent, or vice versa, with use of at least seven-day periods to compare such ratios. In the course of testimony possible need was developed for a variety of other conditions applicable under certain specific circumstances.

The limited number of incidents cited by proponents of the proposal indicates that under normal conditions there is little or no need for shorter accounting periods. In fact, the testimony indicates that the proposal was designed to meet unforeseen situations of such gravity and complexity that it is impossible to establish in the order the appropriate conditions which would qualify a handler for computation of obligations on a short accounting period without making such provisions equally applicable to situations for which they are not appropriate. Should severe marketing disturbances arise wherein order provisions well adapted to normal operations become oppressive, an emergency action based on the facts then existing would appear to provide the best means for consideration of the problem in the light of existing circumstances. The order should not be amended to provide separate accounting periods on the basis of contingencies the precise nature of which cannot now be foreseen.

The proposal for pro rata allocation of other source milk priced as Class I milk under another Federal order when receipts from producers are less than 115 percent of a handler's route sales should not be adopted. Such a provision could require local producers to supply up to 12.5 percent of their milk as Class II milk at all times. Handlers claim that the proposal would enable them to operate with a generally lower annual supply of producer milk in that there would be less inducement for them to assure themselves of a supply of producer milk fully adequate at all times to provide the reserve supplies necessary for carrying on their Class I business. Despite the fact that the conditions under which the proposed provision would operate (producer receipts less than 115 percent of a handler's Class I sales) were identical with those contained in their companion proposal for separate accounting periods, the proponents claim that the two proposals were not intended to operate concurrently; nevertheless, the specific times cited in support of need for such a provision were those cited in support of the need for separate accounting periods, plus the experience of the major handler in the months of May through July 1955 when no association member milk was received. The principal proponent witness advocated the proposal on the basis of its applicability to period of generally short supply, but insisted that the determination of supply should be on an individual handler basis rather than on a marketwide basis.

In periods of generally short supply, the adoption of the proposal could serve to reduce the uniform price of the order from that resulting under present provisions. These are conditions under which the supply-demand adjustment of Class I prices is designed to increase price incentive to producers as a means

of providing an adequate supply of milk for the market. Producers receive Class II prices for any reserve milk in excess of actual sales; in return they are assured under the order of prior claim on the handler's Class I sales. This record would indicate that Tulsa-Muskogee handlers wish to modify this priority for producer milk because they cannot secure other supplies on terms as favorable. The proposal should not be adopted.

The proposed changes with respect to the classification and allocation treatment of inventories would seek to reinstate the provisions effective in the Tulsa and Muskogee orders prior to August 1953 when these separate orders were merged into the present Tulsa-Muskogee order.

Class I products are classified on the basis of the form in which disposition is made. Class II products are accounted for on the basis of the milk used to produce certain products or disposed of under specified conditions. Unprocessed milk, skim milk, and cream and named Class I products on hand but not yet disposed of do not fall into either of these categories because use or disposition has not yet been established. The volume of such inventories on hand at the end of each month (or accounting period) varies considerably due to such factors as daily variations in sales or plant operating conditions.

Under the present order such inventories on hand at the end of the month are temporarily classified as Class II milk in order that proper accounting may be made to producers. Such inventories are then accounted for finally in the following month in which final use or disposition is in all likelihood established. Since specific use of such inventories cannot be established, final classification is a part of the allocation procedure. Basically, the allocation procedure assigns current receipts of producer milk first to Class I use and then to Class II use, current receipts of other source milk first to Class II use and then to Class I use; opening inventory is allocated to the remaining uses in either class. If Class I sales exceed producer receipts, some milk in inventory is thus allocated to Class I milk; if an equal volume (in addition to shrinkage) of the preceding month's receipts of producer milk were paid for as Class II milk a reclassification charge is made at the difference between the price charged for the temporary classification (the Class II price of the preceding month) and the current Class I value as used. If producers were not paid a Class II price for milk in volume equal to the inventory it is considered other source milk and no charge is made, since no payments are required with respect to current receipts of other source milk allocated to Class I.

The proposal would merely adjust the volume of milk accounted for as Class II milk by any difference between the volumes of the opening and closing inventories. Under this system the allocation of current receipts of other source

milk to Class II use (as so adjusted) results in giving such receipts of other source milk prior claim to Class I sales over producer milk in inventory, whenever Class I sales exceed current receipt of producer milk.

Since under both systems prior claim on Class I sales is given current receipts of producer milk no difference in final results occurs except when such receipts are less than the Class I sales. When this occurs under the inventory variation classification proposed, not only are current receipts of other source milk given prior claim over producer milk in inventory for such excess sales, but also in many fluid milk handlers' plants reduction in the volume of inventory may exceed Class II milk uses, resulting in a negative volume of Class II milk, which in the past in some markets has resulted in reclassification charges without distinguishing whether producer milk or other source milk was involved.

Proponents alleged that under the disturbed marketing conditions outlined heretofore, the present provision had been inequitable. Reclassification charges were assessed with respect to inventories of October 31, 1954 and March 31, 1955. The record fails to substantiate, however, that these inventories of producer milk were not available for Class I use in the respective periods immediately following. The alleged costs incurred with respect to inventories of December 1, 1954, and August 1, 1955, are incident to the priority of allocation afforded current receipts of producer milk in these months and would not have been changed by the proposal.

2. Location adjustment credit to handlers should not be changed to apply to all milk moved from a country plant to a bottling plant in the marketing area without regard to the need for Class I milk at such plant.

A handler proposed that location adjustment credit apply to the entire volume of milk moved from a receiving plant in the country to a bottling plant in the marketing area. The order currently restricts eligibility for location credit to the volume of milk so moved which is not in excess of Class I disposition from the transferee plant less 95 percent of receipts from producers at such plant. Such provisions became effective October 1, 1955, on the basis of a public hearing held March 28-30, 1955.

This record fails to show any reason why location adjustment credit should be given on movement of milk not associated with Class I use. The 5 percent "leeway" provision of the Tulsa-Muskogee order provides recognition of the problem of adjustment of movements to Class I needs beyond that provided in many orders. In order to provide a uniform total "leeway" or cushion in relation to Class I sales this should be expressed as a percentage of Class I sales rather than of producer receipts at the transferee plant. Otherwise, no change should be made in the location adjustment credit provision on the basis of this record.

*General findings.* (a) The proposed marketing agreement and the order, as

amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act;

(b) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The proposed order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

*Ruling on exceptions.* No exceptions were received to the findings and conclusions of the recommended decision.

*Determination of representative period.* The month of June 1956 is hereby determined to be the representative period for the purpose of ascertaining whether the issuance of an order amending the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Tulsa-Muskogee, Oklahoma, marketing area in the manner set forth in the attached amending order is approved or favored by producers who, during such period, were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area specified in such amending order.

*Marketing agreement and order.* Annexed hereto and made a part hereof are two documents entitled respectively "Marketing Agreement Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Tulsa-Muskogee, Oklahoma, Marketing Area," and "Order Amending the Order, as Amended, Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Tulsa-Muskogee, Oklahoma, Marketing Area," which have been decided upon as the detailed and appropriate means of effectuating the foregoing conclusions. These documents shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and orders have been met.

It is hereby ordered, that all of this decision, except the attached marketing agreement, be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The regulatory provisions of said marketing agreement are identical with those contained in the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended by the attached order which will be published with this decision.

This decision filed at Washington, D. C., this 16th day of July 1956.

[SEAL]

EARL L. BUTZ,  
Assistant Secretary.

*Order<sup>1</sup> Amending the Order, as Amended, Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Tulsa-Muskogee, Oklahoma, Marketing Area*

§ 906.0 Findings and determinations. The findings and determinations herein after set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of each of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) Findings upon the basis of the hearing record. Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Tulsa-Muskogee, Oklahoma, marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act:

(2) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the marketing area; and the minimum prices specified in the order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity, specified in a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

*Order relative to handling.* It is therefore ordered that on and after the effective date hereof the handling of milk in the Tulsa-Muskogee, Oklahoma, marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the following terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and the aforesaid order is hereby further amended as follows:

Amend the proviso appearing at the end of § 906.53 to read as follows: "Pro-

vided, That for the purposes of calculating such adjustment transfers between approved plants shall be assigned to Class I milk in a volume not in excess of that by which 105 percent of Class I disposition at the transferee plant exceeds the receipts from producers at such plant, such assignment to transferor plants to be made first to plants at which no adjustment credit is applicable and then in the sequence at which the lowest location adjustment credit would apply."

[F. R. Doc. 56-5827; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

# [ 7 CFR Part 910 ]

## VEGETABLES GROWN IN CERTAIN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN COLORADO

### NOTICE OF PROPOSED EXPENSES AND RATE OF ASSESSMENT

Notice is hereby given that the Secretary of Agriculture is considering the approval of the expenses and rate of assessment hereinafter set forth, which were recommended by the San Luis Valley Vegetable Committee, established pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 67, as amended, and Order No. 10, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of vegetables grown in certain designated counties in Colorado, issued under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.; 68 Stat. 906, 1047).

Consideration will be given to any data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto, which are filed in triplicate with the Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C. not later than 15 days following publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The proposals are as follows:

§ 910.210 Expenses and rate of assessment. (a) The reasonable expenses that are likely to be incurred by the San Luis Valley Vegetable Committee, established pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 67, as amended, and Order No. 10, as amended, to enable such committee to perform its functions pursuant to the provisions of aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order, during the fiscal period ending May 31, 1957, will amount to \$1,650.

(b) The rate of assessment to be paid by each handler, pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 67, as amended, and Order No. 10, as amended, shall be six-tenths of one cent (\$0.006) per bushel of peas or crate of cauliflower, or respective equivalent quantities thereof, handled by him as the first handler thereof during said fiscal period.

(c) The terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in Marketing Agreement No. 67, as amended, and Order No. 10, as amended (7 CFR Part 910).

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Done at Washington, D. C., this 16th day of July 1956.

[SEAL]

S. R. SMITH,  
Director, Fruit and Vegetable  
Division, Agricultural Mar-  
keting Service.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5826; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:53 a. m.]

# [ 7 CFR Part 918 ]

[Docket No. AO-219-A5]

## HANDLING OF MILK IN MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE, MARKETING AREA

### DECISION WITH RESPECT TO PROPOSED TENTATIVE MARKETING AGREEMENT AND TO ORDER, AS AMENDED

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was conducted at Memphis, Tennessee, on February 29 and March 1, 1956, pursuant to notice thereof issued on February 20, 1956 (21 F. R. 1236), upon a proposed tentative marketing agreement and order as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Memphis, Tennessee, marketing area.

Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at the hearing, and the record thereof, the Deputy Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, on June 26, 1956, filed with the Hearing Clerk, United States Department of Agriculture, his recommended decision. Said decision, containing notice of opportunity to file written exceptions thereto was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 29, 1956 (21 F. R. 4826).

Within the period reserved therefor, interested persons filed exceptions to certain of the findings, conclusions and actions recommended by the Deputy Administrator. In arriving at the findings, conclusions, and regulatory provisions of this decision, each of such exceptions was carefully and fully considered in conjunction with the record evidence pertaining thereto.

To the extent that suggested findings and conclusions proposed by interested persons are inconsistent with the findings and conclusions contained herein, the specific or implied requests to make such findings and reach such conclusions are denied on the basis of the facts found and stated in connection with the conclusions herein set forth.

The material issues, findings and conclusions, and general findings of the recommended decision (21 F. R. 4826, Doc. 56-5153) are hereby approved and adopted as the issues, findings and conclusions, and general findings of this decision as if set forth in full herein, subject to the following modifications described with reference to Federal Register Doc. 56-5153, 21 F. R. 4826:

1. In the last line of the sixth full paragraph appearing in column 2, page

<sup>1</sup> This order shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and orders have been met.

4828, delete "nonpool" and substitute "nonfluid milk."

2. In line ten, column 3, page 4828, delete "nonpool" and substitute "nonfluid milk".

**General findings.** (a) The proposed marketing agreement and the order, as amended, and as hereby further amended and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act;

(b) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds and other economic conditions which affect market supply of and demand for milk in the marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk and be in the public interest; and

(c) The proposed order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in the marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

**Order of the Secretary Directing That a Referendum Be Conducted Among the Producers Supplying Milk to Memphis, Tennessee, Marketing Area; Determination of a Representative Period and Designation of an Agent To Conduct Such Referendum**

Pursuant to section 8c (19) of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 608c (19)), it is hereby directed that a referendum be conducted among the producers (as defined in the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Memphis, Tennessee, marketing area) who, during the month of May, 1956, were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area specified in the aforementioned order to determine whether such producers favor the issuance of an order amending the order, as amended, which is filed simultaneously herewith. The month of May 1956 is hereby determined to be the representative period for the conduct of such referendum.

C. I. Dunn is hereby designated agent of the Secretary to conduct such referendum in accordance with the procedure for the conduct of referenda to determine producer approval of milk marketing orders as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 10, 1950 (15 F. R. 5177), such referendum to be completed on or before the 10th day from the date this referendum order is issued.

**Marketing agreement and order, as amended.** Annexed hereto and made a part hereof are two documents entitled respectively, "Marketing Agreement Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Memphis, Tennessee, Marketing Area", and "Order Amending the Order, as Amended, Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Memphis, Tennessee, Mar-

keting Area"; which have been decided upon as the detailed and appropriate means of effectuating the foregoing conclusions. These documents shall not become effective unless, and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and orders have been met.

It is hereby ordered that all of this decision, except the attached marketing agreement, be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The regulatory provisions of said marketing agreement are identical with those contained in the order, as amended, and proposed to be hereby further amended.

This decision filed at Washington, D. C., this 16th day of July 1956.

[SEAL]

EARL L. BUTZ,  
Assistant Secretary.

**Order Amending the Order, as Amended, Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Memphis, Tennessee, Marketing Area**

§ 918.0 **Findings and determination.** The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) **Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.** Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Memphis, Tennessee, marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk produced for sale in the said marketing area as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds and other economic conditions which affect market supplies of and demand for such milk, and the minimum prices specified in the order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and

<sup>1</sup> This order shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and orders have been met.

wholesome milk and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order, as amended, and as hereby further amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

**Order relative to handling.** It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof the handling of milk in the Memphis, Tennessee; marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended as follows:

1. Amend § 918.11 (b) to read as follows:

(b) Diverted from a fluid milk plant to a non-fluid milk plant, except a milk plant fully subject to the provisions of another order issued pursuant to the act, for the account of the handler: *Provided*, That milk so diverted shall be deemed to have been received by the diverting handler at the location of the plant from which it was diverted.

2. Amend § 918.13 (b) to read as follows:

(b) Products from any source (including those produced by the handler) designated as Class II milk pursuant to § 918.41 (b) (1) or (3) which are reprocessed or converted to another product during the month.

3. Amend § 918.41 to read as follows:

§ 918.41 **Classes of utilization.** Subject to the conditions set forth in §§ 918.43 and 918.44, the class of utilization shall be as follows:

(a) Class I milk shall be all skim milk (including concentrated and reconstituted skim milk) and butterfat (1) disposed of in the form of milk, skim milk, buttermilk, milk drinks (plain or flavored), cream (including sour cream) and any mixture in fluid form of skim milk and cream (except eggnog, ice cream mix, and milk or skim milk disposed of and used for livestock feed); and (2) not accounted for as Class II milk.

(b) Class II milk shall be all skim milk and butterfat (1) used to produce any product other than those designated as Class I milk pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section; (2) disposed of and used for livestock feed; (3) in cream frozen and stored; (4) contained in inventory of milk and milk products on hand at the end of the month (other than frozen cream) designated as Class I milk pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section; and (5) in shrinkage assigned to Class II milk pursuant to § 918.42 in (i) producer milk and (ii) other source milk.

4. Amend § 918.42 to read as follows:

§ 918.42 **Shrinkage.** The market administrator shall determine the assignment of shrinkage to Class II milk as follows:

(a) Determine the total shrinkage of skim milk and butterfat, respectively, in

the fluid milk plant(s) of each handler;  
(b) Multiply the pounds of skim milk and butterfat, respectively, in producer milk (except milk diverted pursuant to § 918.11) and in other source milk by 0.02; and

(c) The lesser of the pounds of skim milk and butterfat, respectively, determined pursuant to paragraphs (a) or (b) of this section shall be classified as Class II milk and assigned pro rata to producer milk (except milk diverted pursuant to § 918.11) and other source milk.

5. Delete § 918.43 (b).

6. Amend § 918.45 to read as follows:

§ 918.45 *Computation of the skim milk and butterfat in each class.* For each month, the market administrator shall correct for mathematical and for other obvious errors the reports of receipts and utilization for the fluid milk plants of each handler and shall compute the pounds of butterfat and skim milk in Class I milk and Class II milk for such handlers: *Provided*, That if any of the water contained in the milk from which a product is made is removed before the product is utilized or disposed of by a handler, the pounds of skim milk disposed of in such product shall be considered to be an amount equivalent to the nonfat milk solids contained in such product, plus all of the water originally associated with such solids, except that when nonfat milk solids are added to producer milk in an amount, as shown by solids tests of individual batches, which does not increase the total solids-nonfat content of such milk beyond 8.5 percent by weight, the volume of water originally associated with such nonfat milk solids shall not be considered in determining the pounds of skim milk disposed of in such product.

7. In § 918.46 (a) (1) change "§ 918.42 (b)" to read "§ 918.42 (c)".

8. Amend § 918.46 (a) (3) to read as follows (such amendment to be effective one month following the effective date of the amendment provided herein with respect to § 918.41):

(3) Subtract from the remaining pounds of skim milk in Class II milk the pounds of skim milk contained in inventory (as specified in § 918.41 (b) (4)) on hand at the beginning of the month: *Provided*, That if the pounds of such skim milk in inventory are greater than the remaining pounds of skim milk in Class II milk, an amount equal to the difference shall be subtracted from the pounds of skim milk in Class I milk;

9. Delete the following from the list of plants or places appearing in § 918.50 (a):

Carnation Co., Chilton, Wis.  
Carnation Co., Berlin, Wis.

10. Amend § 918.51 to read as follows:

§ 918.51 *Class prices.* Subject to the provisions of §§ 918.52 and 918.53, the minimum prices per hundredweight to be paid by each handler for milk received at his fluid milk plant from producers during the month shall be as follows:

(a) *Class I milk.* The price per hundredweight for Class I milk for the month shall be the basic formula price for the preceding month, subject to the

adjustments provided in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph:

(1) Add \$1.28 for the months of March through July, and \$1.68 for all other months;

(2) Add if the net utilization percentage calculated pursuant to subparagraph (3) of this paragraph is less than, or subtract if it is more than the base utilization range, an amount determined by multiplying such net utilization percentage by the appropriate rate as follows:

Pricing months:	Rate (cents)
January-March.....	3
April-June.....	1
July-August.....	3
September-December.....	4

(3) The figure calculated for each month as follows shall be known as the net utilization percentage: Divide the net pounds of Class I milk disposed of from fluid milk plants for the second and third preceding months into the volume of producer milk for the same months, multiply by 100, round to the nearest whole percentage number and determine the amount by which such number exceeds the higher figure or is less than the lower figure of the appropriate base utilization range in the following table:

Pricing month	Second and third preceding month	Base utilization range
January.....	October-November.....	103-113
February.....	November-December.....	103-114
March.....	December-January.....	113-118
April.....	January-February.....	117-122
May.....	February-March.....	119-124
June.....	March-April.....	124-129
July.....	April-May.....	124-125
August.....	May-June.....	120-125
September.....	June-July.....	127-132
October.....	July-August.....	125-130
November.....	August-September.....	118-123
December.....	September-October.....	111-116

(b) *Class II milk.* The average of the basic or field prices reported to have been paid or to be paid for ungraded milk of 4.0 percent butterfat content received from farmers during the month at the following plants or places for which prices have been reported to the market administrator or to the Department of Agriculture:

*Present Operator and Location*

Borden Co., Starkville, Miss.  
Carnation Co., Tupelo, Miss.  
Pet Milk Co., Mayfield, Ky.  
Pet Milk Co., Kosciusko, Miss.  
Kraft Foods Co., Corinth, Miss.  
Armour Creameries, New Albany, Miss.

to which 15 cents shall be added for each of the months of September, October, and November.

11. Delete from the table of distances and rates appearing in § 918.53 the following:

From miles column 40 but less than 50	From cents column 17
--	-------------------------

12. Delete § 918.70 (e) and (f) and substitute therefor the following: (such amendment to be effective one month after the effective date of the amendment provided herein with respect to § 918.41):

(e) Add an amount computed by multiplying by the difference between the appropriate Class II milk price for the preceding month and the appropri-

ate Class I price for the current month the lesser of:

(i) The hundredweight of skim milk and butterfat subtracted from Class I milk pursuant to § 918.46 (a) (3) and the corresponding step of (b); or

(ii) The hundredweight of skim milk and butterfat remaining in Class II milk for the preceding month after the calculation pursuant to § 918.46 (a) (4) and the corresponding step of (b).

13. Amend § 918.61 (a) to read as follows:

(a) Any plant qualified pursuant to § 918.7 (a) which would be subject to the classification and pricing provisions of another order unless a greater volume of Class I milk was disposed of from such plant during the six-month period immediately preceding to retail or wholesale outlets (except fluid milk plants) in the Memphis, Tennessee, marketing area than in the marketing area regulated pursuant to such other order.

14. Delete from the table of distances and rates appearing in § 918.93 the following:

From miles column 40 but less than 50	From cents column 17
--	-------------------------

[F. R. Doc. 56-5802; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:49 a. m.]

[ 7 CFR Part 942 ]

[Docket No. AO-103-A14]

HANDLING OF MILK IN NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA, MARKETING AREA

NOTICE OF EXTENSION OF TIME FOR CONDUCT OF REFERENDUM TO DETERMINE PRODUCER APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ORDER, AS AMENDED

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and orders (7 CFR, Part 900), notice is hereby given that the time for conducting a referendum pursuant to an order issued by the Assistant Secretary of Agriculture on July 3, 1956 (21 F. R. 5105) with respect to determining producer approval or disapproval of a proposed amendment to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the New Orleans, Louisiana, marketing area, is hereby extended to the 20th day from the issuance of said referendum order.

Dated: July 13, 1956.

[SEAL]

EARL L. BUTZ,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5801; Filed, July 18, 1956; 8:49 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

[ 29 CFR Part 522 ]

EMPLOYMENT OF LEARNERS

LUGGAGE, SMALL LEATHER GOODS AND LADIES' HANDBAG INDUSTRIES; NOTICE OF HEARING

Pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat.

1060, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 201 et seq.), the Administrator has heretofore issued certificates for the employment of learners in the luggage, small leather goods and ladies' handbag industries at wages lower than the \$1.00 per hour required by section 6 of the act.

A request for a hearing on the necessity of such learner certificates has been made by the International Leather Goods, Plastics and Novelty Workers' Union.

Accordingly, pursuant to authority under section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended; 29 U. S. C. 201 et seq.), and in accordance with section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001) and 29 CFR 522.4, notice is hereby given that interested persons will be given opportunity to submit oral and written data, views, and arguments before Verl E. Roberts, an authorized representative of the Administrator, in Room 5132, United States Department of Labor Building, Fourteenth Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D. C. at 10:00 a. m., e. d. s. t., on August 2, 1956, on the following questions:

1. Is it necessary, in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, to provide for the employment of learners in the luggage, small leather goods and ladies' handbag industries at wages below the minimum provided in section 6 of the Fair Labor Standards Act; and if such necessity be found to exist,

2. What subminimum wage rate or rates should be provided for learners in the luggage, small leather goods, and ladies' handbag industries, what number or proportion of learners should be permitted in a plant, in what occupations should learners be permitted, and what should be the length or duration of the learning period.

Written statements in lieu of personal appearance may be mailed to the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division at any time prior to the date of the hearing or may be filed with the presiding officer at the hearing.

Signed at Washington, D. C., this 17th day of July 1956.

NEWELL BROWN,  
Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5877; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:53 a. m.]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### [ 17 CFR Part 230 ]

#### GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

##### NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Notice is hereby given that the Securities and Exchange Commission has under consideration the following changes in its general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933:

I. *Proposed rescission of Rules 132, 151 and 414.* Rule 132 provides for the use of identifying statements in connection with securities registered or in the

process of registration under the act. Its rescission has been proposed because it has now been superseded by Rule 134 which provides for the use of substantially the same type of advertisements pursuant to section 2 (10) (b) of the act.

Rule 151 defines, for certain transactions, the term "issuance" as used in the former section 4 (3) of the act as in effect prior to July 1, 1934. Since the rule applies only to offerings commenced prior to that date, it is believed to be no longer necessary.

Rule 414 requires the filing with certain registration statements of identifying statements proposed to be used pursuant to Rule 132. With the rescission of that rule, Rule 414 would no longer be necessary.

II. *Proposed amendment of Rule 100.* Paragraph (a) of § 230.100 (Rule 100) would be amended to delete therefrom the definition of the term "section." Since the Commission's rules are included in the Code of Federal Regulations as "sections," this definition would be deleted to avoid possible confusion between sections of the act and sections of the Code. Paragraph (a) as so amended, and with other minor verbal changes, would read as follows:

§ 230.100 *Definitions of terms used in the rules and regulations.* (a) As used in the rules and regulations prescribed in this part by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, unless the context otherwise requires:

(1) The term "Commission" means the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(2) The term "act" means the Securities Act of 1933.

(3) The term "rules and regulations" refers to all rules and regulations adopted by the Commission pursuant to the act, including the forms and accompanying instructions thereto.

(4) The term "registrant" means the issuer of securities for which a registration statement is filed.

(5) The term "agent for service" means the person authorized in the registration statement to receive notices and communications from the Commission.

III. *Proposed amendment of Rule 170.* This rule prohibits the use of financial statements which give effect to the receipt and application of any part of the proceeds from the sales of the securities being offered unless the issue is underwritten and the underwriters are irrevocably bound, on or before the date of the public offering, to take the issue.

The proposed amendment would make it clear that the rule is intended to permit the use of such financial statements not only in cases where there is a firm commitment to take the issue but also in cases where there is no such commitment, provided the underwriters agree to take all of the securities if any are taken and to refund to public investors all subscription payments made in the event that the underwriters elect not to take the issue.

§ 230.170 *Prohibition of use of certain financial statements.* Financial

statements which purport to give effect to the receipt and application of any part of the proceeds from the sale of securities for cash shall not be used unless the sale of such securities is underwritten and the underwriting arrangements are such that the underwriters are or will be committed to take and pay for all of the securities, if any are taken prior to or within a reasonable time after the commencement of the public offering and if the securities are not so taken the subscribers will be refunded the full amount of all subscription payments made for the securities. The caption of any such financial statement shall clearly set forth the assumptions upon which such statement is based. The caption shall be in type at least as large as that used generally in the body of the statement.

IV. *Proposed amendment of Rule 426.* This rule requires the inclusion in a prospectus for registered securities of certain statements and information in regard to stabilizing. The proposed amendment would require, in the case of a rights offering to existing security holders, that the prospectus used in connection with any reoffering of the unsubscribed securities to the general public shall contain information in regard to transactions effected by the issuer or the underwriters during the rights offering period. The amendment would add a new paragraph (c) to the rule reading as follows:

§ 230.426 *Statement as to stabilizing.*

\* \* \*

(c) If the securities being registered are to be offered to existing security holders pursuant to warrants or rights and any securities not taken by security holders are to be reoffered to the public, there shall be set forth in the prospectus used in connection with such reoffering (1) the amount of securities bought in stabilization activities during the rights offering period and the price or range of prices at which such securities were bought, (2) the amount of the offered securities subscribed for during such period, (3) the amount of the offered securities subscribed for by the underwriters during such period, (4) the amount of the offered securities sold during such period by the underwriters and the price, or range of prices, at which such securities were sold and (5) the amount of the offered securities to be reoffered to the public and the public offering price.

All interested persons are invited to submit views and comments on the foregoing proposals, in writing, to the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C., on or before August 15, 1956. Except where it is requested that such communications not be disclosed, they will be considered available for public inspection.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

JULY 11, 1956.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5798; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:48 a. m.]

## NOTICES

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

## Fiscal Service, Bureau of the Public Debt

[1956 Dept. Circular 278]

### 2 3/4 PERCENT TREASURY NOTES OF SERIES D-1957

## OFFERING OF NOTES

JULY 16, 1956.

**I. Offering of notes.** The Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to the authority of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, invites subscriptions from the people of the United States for notes of the United States, designated 2 3/4 percent Treasury Notes of Series D-1957, in exchange for 2 percent Treasury Notes of Series B-1956, maturing August 15, 1956, or 1 1/2 percent Treasury Notes of Series EO-1956, maturing October 1, 1956. Exchanges will be made at par with an adjustment of interest as set forth in section IV hereof. The amount of the offering under this circular will be limited to the amount of maturing notes tendered in exchange and accepted. The books will be open only on July 16 through July 18 for the receipt of subscriptions for this issue.

**II. Description of notes.** 1. The notes will be dated July 16, 1956, and will bear interest from that date at the rate of 2 3/4 percent per annum, payable on a semiannual basis on February 1 and August 1, 1957. They will mature August 1, 1957, and will not be subject to call for redemption prior to maturity.

2. The income derived from the notes is subject to all taxes imposed under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The notes are subject to estate, inheritance, gift or other excise taxes, whether Federal or State, but are exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed on the principal or interest thereof by any State, or any of the possessions of the United States, or by any local taxing authority.

3. The notes will be acceptable to secure deposits of public moneys. They will not be acceptable in payment of taxes.

4. Bearer notes with interest coupons attached will be issued in denominations of \$1,000, \$5,000, \$10,000, \$100,000, \$1,000,000, \$100,000,000 and \$500,000,000. The notes will not be issued in registered form.

5. The notes will be subject to the general regulations of the Treasury Department, now or hereafter prescribed, governing United States notes.

**III. Subscription and allotment.** 1. Subscriptions will be received at the Federal Reserve Banks and Branches and at the Office of the Treasurer of the United States, Washington. Banking institutions generally may submit subscriptions for account of customers, but only the Federal Reserve Banks and the Treasury Department are authorized to act as official agencies.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury reserves the right to reject or reduce any

subscription, and to allot less than the amount of notes applied for; and any action he may take in these respects shall be final. Subject to these reservations, all subscriptions will be allotted in full. Allotment notices will be sent out promptly upon allotment.

**IV. Payment.** 1. Payment at par for notes allotted hereunder must be made on or before July 25, 1956, or on later allotment, and may be made only in Treasury Notes of Series B-1956, maturing August 15, 1956, or Treasury Notes of Series EO-1956, maturing October 1, 1956, which will be accepted at par, and should accompany the subscription. Coupons dated August 15, 1956, must be attached to the notes of Series B-1956 when surrendered, and accrued interest from February 15 to July 16 (\$8.35165 per \$1,000) will be paid to subscribers following acceptance of the notes. Coupons dated October 1, 1956, must be attached to the notes of Series EO-1956 when surrendered, and accrued interest from April 1 to July 16, 1956 (\$4.34426 per \$1,000) will be paid to subscribers following acceptance of the notes.

**V. General provisions.** 1. As fiscal agents of the United States, Federal Reserve Banks are authorized and requested to receive subscriptions, to make allotments on the basis and up to the amounts indicated by the Secretary of the Treasury to the Federal Reserve Banks of the respective Districts, to issue allotment notices, to receive payment for notes allotted, to make delivery of notes on full-paid subscriptions allotted, and they may issue interim receipts pending delivery of the definitive notes.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time, or from time to time, prescribe supplemental or amendatory rules and regulations governing the offering, which will be communicated promptly to the Federal Reserve Banks.

[SEAL]

G. M. HUMPHREY,  
Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5813; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:52 a. m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## Bureau of Reclamation

COLORADO RIVER STORAGE AND YUMA  
PROJECTS, CALIFORNIA

## ORDER OF REVOCATION

DECEMBER 3, 1952.

Pursuant to the authority delegated by Departmental Order No. 2515 of April 7, 1949 (14 F. R. 1937), I hereby revoke Departmental Orders of July 2, 1902, August 1, 1903, September 8, 1903, July 1, 1904, January 30, 1929, February 19, 1929, June 4, 1930, March 26, 1931, and October 16, 1931, insofar as said orders affect the following described lands; provided, however, that such revocation shall not affect the withdrawal of any other lands by said orders or affect any other orders withdrawing or reserving the land hereinafter described.

## SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, CALIFORNIA

T. 11 N., R. 21 E.,  
Sec. 3, Lot 1;  
Sec. 4, Lots 2 to 11, inclusive, SW 1/4 NW 1/4, NE 1/4 SW 1/4, SW 1/4 SE 1/4;  
Secs. 5 to 9, inclusive, all;  
Sec. 10, Lots 2 to 7, inclusive, S 1/2 NW 1/4, NE 1/4 SW 1/4, NW 1/4 SE 1/4, S 1/2 SE 1/4;  
Secs. 15 to 22, inclusive, and 27 to 34, inclusive, all;  
Tracts 37, 38, 40, 42, 44 and 47, all.  
T. 8 N., R. 22 E.,  
Secs. 1, 2, 11 and 12, all.  
T. 9 N., R. 22 E.,  
Secs. 3 to 10 inclusive, 15 to 23, inclusive, and 25 to 36, inclusive, all.  
T. 10 N., R. 22 E.,  
Secs. 5, 6, and 8, 17 to 20, inclusive, and 28 to 34, inclusive, all.  
T. 7 N., R. 23 E.,  
Sec. 1, Lots 3 and 4, S 1/2 NW 1/4, S 1/2;  
Secs. 2, 3 and 4, 9 to 15, inclusive, 21 to 28, inclusive, and 34, 35 and 36, all.  
T. 1 N., R. 24 E.,  
Secs. 19 to 24, inclusive, and 27 to 32, inclusive, all.  
T. 4 N., R. 24 E.,  
Secs. 7 to 11, inclusive, 13 to 18, inclusive, 22 to 27, inclusive, and 34, 35 and 36, all.  
T. 5 N., R. 24 E.,  
Secs. 4, 9, 16, 21 and 28, all;  
Secs. 29, SW 1/4, S 1/2 SE 1/4;  
Sec. 30, Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S 1/2 NE 1/4, E 1/2 W 1/4, SE 1/4.  
T. 6 N., R. 24 E.,  
Secs. 4 to 9, inclusive, 16 to 22, inclusive, and 27 to 34, inclusive, all.  
T. 7 N., R. 24 E.,  
Secs. 17 to 20, inclusive, and 29 to 32, inclusive, all.  
T. 1 N., R. 25 E.,  
Secs. 2 and 3, those portions lying west of the Colorado River Indian Reservation;  
Secs. 4 to 8, inclusive, all;  
Secs. 9, 10 and 16, those portions lying west of the Colorado River Indian Reservation;  
Secs. 17 and 18, all.  
T. 3 N., R. 25 E.,  
Secs. 19 to 24, inclusive, all;  
Sec. 25, that portion lying west of the Colorado River Indian Reservation;  
Secs. 28 to 34, inclusive, all;  
Secs. 35 and 36, those portions lying west of the Colorado River Indian Reservation.  
T. 3 N., R. 25 E.,  
Secs. 1, 2 and 3, and 10 to 15, inclusive, all.  
T. 2 N., R. 26 E.,  
Secs. 4 to 7, inclusive;  
Sec. 8, Lots 2, 3, N 1/2, SW 1/4, NW 1/4 SE 1/4;  
Sec. 9, Lots 6 to 10, inclusive, E 1/2 E 1/2, NW 1/4 NW 1/4;  
Sec. 16, Lots 5 to 8, inclusive;  
Sec. 17, Lots 5 to 7, inclusive, N 1/2 NW 1/4, SW 1/4 NW 1/4;  
Sec. 18, Lots 2 to 6, inclusive, NE 1/4, E 1/2 W 1/2, NE 1/4 SE 1/4, W 1/2 SE 1/4;  
Sec. 19, Lots 5 to 11, inclusive, E 1/2 NW 1/4;  
Sec. 21, Lots 3 and 4.  
T. 3 N., R. 26 E.,  
Secs. 6 and 7, 17 to 20, inclusive, and 28 to 33, inclusive, all.  
T. 9 S., R. 21 E.,  
Secs. 28, 29, 32, 33 and 34, all.  
T. 7 S., R. 22 E.,  
Secs. 25, 26, 35 and 36, all.  
T. 8 S., R. 22 E.,  
Secs. 1, 2 and 3, all;  
Sec. 4, Lots 1, 2, 3, S 1/2 NE 1/4, SE 1/4 NW 1/4, S 1/2;  
Secs. 9 to 12, inclusive, all;  
Sec. 14, all;  
Sec. 15, N 1/2, SE 1/4;  
Sec. 16, all;  
Sec. 17, W 1/2 NE 1/4, S 1/2;  
Secs. 18 and 19, all;

Sec. 20, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Secs. 21, 22, 27 to 32, inclusive, all;  
 Sec. 33, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 34, N $\frac{1}{2}$ .  
 T. 2 S., R. 23 E.,  
 Secs. 1 to 11, inclusive, all;  
 Secs. 12 and 13, those portions lying west of the Colorado River Indian Reservation;  
 Secs. 14 to 23, inclusive, all;  
 Sec. 24, that portion lying west of the Colorado River Indian Reservation;  
 Secs. 30 to 34, inclusive, all.  
 T. 3 S., R. 23 E.,  
 Secs. 3 to 9, inclusive, 16 to 21, inclusive, and 27 to 34, inclusive, all.  
 T. 4 S., R. 23 E.,  
 Secs. 3 to 9, inclusive, 16 to 22, inclusive, and 27 to 34, inclusive, all.  
 T. 5 S., R. 23 E.,  
 Secs. 2 to 11, inclusive, all;  
 Sec. 14, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Secs. 15 to 23, inclusive, all;  
 Secs. 29 and 30, all;  
 Sec. 32, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 33, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 34, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 6 S., R. 23 E.,  
 Sec. 3, Lots 3, 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 4 and 5, all;  
 Sec. 6, Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Secs. 7 to 10, inclusive, all;  
 Sec. 15, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Secs. 16 to 21, inclusive, all;  
 Sec. 22, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Secs. 27 to 34, inclusive, all.  
 T. 7 S., R. 23 E.,  
 Sec. 3, Lots 3, 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Secs. 4 to 9, inclusive, all;  
 Sec. 10, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 15, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Secs. 16 to 19, inclusive, all;  
 Sec. 20, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 21, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 29, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 30, all.  
 T. 14 S., R. 23 E.,  
 Secs. 3, 10, 14, 15, 22 to 27, inclusive, 34, 35 and 36, inclusive, all.  
 T. 15 S., R. 23 E.,  
 Secs. 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 22 and 23, all.  
 T. 1 S., R. 24 E.,  
 Secs. 19 and 30, all;  
 Sec. 31, Lots 2 to 6, inclusive, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 T. 2 S., R. 24 E.,  
 Sec. 6, that portion lying west of the Colorado River Indian Reservation.

The above area aggregates approximately 253,590 acres.

G. W. LINEWEAVER,  
 Assistant Commissioner.  
 [63988]

July 13, 1956.

I concur.

1. The public lands released by this order are located along the western side of the Colorado River in extreme eastern San Bernardino, Riverside, and Imperial Counties. In general, the land consists of steep, rugged desert mountains rising above the western side of the Colorado River and have no value for agriculture.

2. Most of the lands in townships 7 and 8 S., R. 22 E., and townships 6 and 7 S., R. 23 E., have been patented. The remaining, scattered tracts of public lands in those townships may have some value for agriculture.

3. No application for the lands may be allowed under the homestead, desert-land, small tract, or any other non-mineral public-land law unless the lands have already been classified as valuable or suitable for such type of application,

or shall be so classified upon the consideration of an application. Any application that is filed will be considered on its merits. The lands will not be subject to occupancy or disposition until they have been classified.

4. Subject to any valid existing rights and the requirements of applicable law, the public lands released from withdrawal by this order, are hereby opened to filing of applications, selections, and locations in accordance with the following, the unsurveyed lands being opened to such applications, selections, and locations as are allowable on unsurveyed lands:

a. Applications and selections under the non-mineral public-land laws may be presented to the Manager mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications and selections will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications for surveyed lands under the Homestead, Desert Land, and Small Tract Laws, and all valid applications for unsurveyed lands under the Small Tract Laws by qualified veterans of World War II or of the Korean Conflict, and by others entitled to preference under the act of September 27, 1944 (58 Stat. 747; 43 U. S. C. 279-284 as amended), presented prior to 10:00 a. m. on August 18, 1956, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such preference-right applications, filed after that hour and before 10:00 a. m. on November 17, 1956, will be governed by the time of filing.

(3) All valid applications and selections under the non-mineral public-land laws, other than those coming under paragraphs 4(a)(1) and 4(a)(2) above, presented prior to 10:00 a. m. on November 17, 1956, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour, will be governed by the time of filing.

b. The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral-leasing laws. They will be open to location under the United States mining laws beginning at 10:00 a. m. on November 17, 1956.

5. Persons claiming veterans preference rights under paragraph 4 (a) (2) above, must enclose with their applications proper evidence of military or naval service, preferably a complete photostatic copy of the certificate of honorable discharge. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their claims. Detailed rules and regula-

tions governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this order can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Los Angeles, California.

DEPUÉ FALCK,  
 Acting Director,  
 Bureau of Land Management.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5789; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
 8:47 a. m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Federal Maritime Board

MATSON NAVIGATION CO. ET AL.

#### NOTICE OF AGREEMENTS FILED WITH BOARD FOR APPROVAL

Notice is hereby given that the following described agreements have been filed with the Board for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, 39 Stat. 733, 46 U. S. C. 814.

(1) Agreement No. 8052-3, between Matson Navigation Company and Rederiaktiebolaget Nordstjernan (Johnson Line), modifies approved transshipment Agreement No. 8052, as amended, to provide for an increase of \$2.00 per 2,000 pounds in the through rates from Hawaii to ports of destination as set forth in the agreement. Agreement No. 8052, as amended, covers the transportation of canned pineapple and canned pineapple juice under through bills of lading from Hawaii to Great Britain, Northern Ireland, Irish Free State, European Continental, Baltic, Scandinavian, African and Mediterranean Sea ports, with transshipment at ports on the Pacific Coast of the United States.

(2) Agreement No. 8055-3, between Matson Navigation Company and Compagnie Generale Transatlantique, modifies approved transshipment Agreement No. 8055, as amended, to provide for an increase of \$2.00 per 2,000 pounds in the through rates from Hawaii to ports of destination as set forth in the agreement. Agreement No. 8055, as amended, covers the transportation of canned pineapple and canned pineapple juice under through bills of lading from Hawaii to Great Britain, Northern Ireland, Irish Free State, European Continental, Baltic, Scandinavian, African and Mediterranean Sea ports, with transshipment at ports on the Pacific Coast of the United States.

(3) Agreement No. 8058-3, between Matson Navigation Company and Fred. Olsen & Co. (Fred Olsen Line), modifies approved transshipment Agreement No. 8058, as amended, and as it will be amended by pending Agreement No. 8052-2 to provide for an increase of \$2.00 per 2,000 pounds in the through rates from Hawaii to specified ports of destination. Agreement No. 8058, as amended, covers the transportation of canned pineapple and canned pineapple juice under through bills of lading from Hawaii to Great Britain, Northern Ireland, Irish Free State, European Continental, Baltic, Scandinavian and Mediterranean Sea ports, with transshipment

at ports on the Pacific Coast of the United States.

(4) Agreement No. 8059-4, between Matson Navigation Company and Westfal-Larsen & Company A/S, modifies approved transshipment Agreement No. 8059, as amended, to provide for an increase of \$2.00 per 2,000 pounds in the through rates from Hawaii to ports of destination as set forth in the agreement. Agreement No. 8059, as amended, covers the transportation of canned pineapple and canned pineapple juice under through bills of lading from Hawaii to Great Britain, Northern Ireland, Irish Free State, European Continental, Baltic, Scandinavian, African and Mediterranean Sea ports, with transshipment at ports on the Pacific Coast of the United States.

(5) Agreement No. 8068-2, between Matson Navigation Company and A/S Det Ostasiatiske Kompagnie (The East Asiatic Company Limited) modifies approved transshipment Agreement No. 8068, as amended, to provide for an increase of \$2.00 per 2,000 pounds in the through rates from Hawaii to ports of destination as set forth in the agreement. Agreement No. 8068, as amended, covers the transportation of canned pineapple and canned pineapple juice under through bills of lading from Hawaii to Great Britain, Northern Ireland, Irish Free State, European Continental, Baltic, Scandinavian, African and Mediterranean Sea ports, with transshipment at ports on the Pacific Coast of the United States.

(6) Agreement No. 8072-1, between Matson Navigation Company and States Marine Corporation and States Marine Corporation of Delaware, modifies approved transshipment Agreement No. 8072 to provide for an increase of \$2.00 per 2,000 pounds in the through rates from Hawaii to ports of destination as set forth in the agreement. Agreement No. 8072 covers the transportation of canned pineapple and canned pineapple juice under through bills of lading from Hawaii to Great Britain, Northern Ireland, Irish Free State, European Continental, Baltic, Scandinavian, African and Mediterranean Sea ports, with transshipment at ports on the Pacific Coast of the United States.

(7) Agreement No. 8073-1, between Matson Navigation Company and Nippon Yusen Kaisha (N. Y. K. Line), modifies approved transshipment Agreement No. 8073 to provide for an increase of \$2.00 per 2,000 pounds in the through rates from Hawaii to ports of destination as set forth in the agreement. Agreement No. 8073 covers the transportation of canned pineapple and canned pineapple juice under through bills of lading from Hawaii to Great Britain, Northern Ireland, Irish Free State, European Continental, Baltic, Scandinavian, African and Mediterranean Sea ports, with transshipment at ports on the Pacific Coast of the United States.

(8) Agreement No. 8075-1, between Matson Navigation Company and Hanseatische Reederei Emil Offen & Company and Vaasan Laiva Oy (Hanseatic-

Vaasa Line), modifies approved transshipment Agreement No. 8075 to provide for an increase of \$2.00 per 2,000 pounds in the through rate from Hawaii to ports of destination as set forth in the agreement. Agreement No. 8075 covers the transportation of canned pineapple and canned pineapple juice under through bills of lading from Hawaii to European Continental seaports, with transshipment at ports on the Pacific Coast of the United States.

Interested parties may inspect these agreements and obtain copies thereof at the Regulation Office, Federal Maritime Board, Washington, D. C., and may submit, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, written statements with reference to the agreements and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification, together with request for hearing should such hearing be desired.

Dated: July 16, 1956.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

[SEAL]

GEO. A. VIEHMANN,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5815; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:52 a. m.]

#### BOOTH STEAMSHIP CO. ET AL.

#### NOTICE OF AGREEMENTS FILED WITH BOARD FOR APPROVAL

Notice is hereby given that the following described agreements have been filed with the Board for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, 39 Stat. 733, 46 U. S. C. 814.

(1) Agreement No. 8098, between Booth Steamship Co., Ltd., and Alcoa Steamship Company, Inc., covers the transportation of general cargo under through bills of lading from Brazil and Peru to Puerto Rico, with transshipment at New York, Baltimore or Norfolk.

(2) Agreement No. 8099, between Lamport & Holt Line, Ltd., and Alcoa Steamship Company, Inc., covers the transportation of general cargo under through bills of lading from Argentina, Brazil, Peru and Uruguay to Puerto Rico, with transshipment at New York, Baltimore or Norfolk.

Interested parties may inspect these agreements and obtain copies thereof at the Regulation Office, Federal Maritime Board, Washington, D. C., and may submit, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, written statements with reference to either of the agreements and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification, together with request for hearing should such hearing be desired.

Dated: July 16, 1956.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

[SEAL]

GEO. A. VIEHMANN,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5816; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:52 a. m.]

## FEDERAL FACILITIES CORPORATION

### GOVERNMENT-OWNED LONGHORN TIN SMELTER

#### INVITATION FOR PROPOSALS FOR PURCHASE OR LEASE

Pursuant to Public Law 608, 84th Congress, 2d Session, approved June 22, 1956, the Federal Facilities Corporation announces that it will receive written proposals for the purchase or lease of the Government-owned Longhorn Tin Smelter, Texas City, Texas, consisting of Tin Smelter & Chemical Plant, now operating, 146 acres developed site: Roads, siding, shops, laboratory, office building, etc.; public utilities: Power, water, and natural gas; process units: Roasting, leaching, calcining, reverberatory smelting, fire refining, HCl acid recovery. At the bidder's option written proposals can be submitted for the smelter alone, or for the smelter plus one or both of the following other assets of the Government's tin program:

(1) Chemical Plant (Hydrochloric acid recovery unit);

(2) Inventory of tin-bearing materials (including work-in-process).

A brochure dated September 6, 1955, which contains a broad general description of the Longhorn Tin Smelter and its facilities, processes and experience, may be obtained upon application to Federal Facilities Corporation, which will also arrange to furnish upon request all available financial, operating and other data pertaining to the smelter and other assets of the Government's tin program. The smelter has been in operation since 1942 for Government account. Its current rate of production is approximately 20,000 long tons per year and its maximum rate of production, 43,450 long tons, was achieved during the calendar year 1946.

Public Law 608 prescribes that any sale or lease thereunder shall be effected in such manner and on such terms and conditions as Federal Facilities Corporation determines will best promote the interests of the United States. To assist bidders in the preparation of proposals, Federal Facilities Corporation has prepared instructions for the Submission of Proposals, which are available upon application to Federal Facilities Corporation.

Subject to the foregoing, the following additional specific information concerning the program of sale or lease is announced: Proposals may be submitted for the purchase or lease of the smelter alone or for the smelter with one or both of the above described other assets. All proposals shall be in writing and may be submitted at any time through November 1, 1956, at the office of Federal Facilities Corporation, 811 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington 25, D. C. Where a proposal contemplates the purchase of the smelter, together with one or both of such other assets, the proposal shall state separately the amount offered to be paid for the smelter and for each other asset covered by the proposal. All purchase proposals must contain the bid-

der's agreement to purchase the inventory of supplies, spare parts, and repair parts on hand at the smelter on the date possession of the property is delivered, at the cost thereof less depreciation, if any, as shown by Federal Facilities Corporation's books. Proposals shall specify the use which the bidder intends to make of the smelter and any other asset included in his proposal. While Public Law 608 does not require that a sale or lease be conditioned upon operation of the smelter for the production of tin and does not require the inclusion of a national security clause as a condition of sale or lease, Federal Facilities Corporation hereby announces that in evaluating proposals it will view as a most pertinent element a bidder's commitment to produce tin and/or tin alloys or a bidder's statement of intention to produce such products, in contrast to proposals indicating no intent to use the smelter for such purposes.

Proposals for lease must state the term and automatic renewals desired by the bidder, but the term must be firm. Lease rental may be based only on a fixed annual rental, and rentals based on production or on a percentage of sales or profit will not be considered. Proposals for lease shall state specifically the use intended to be made of the property desired to be leased.

Proposals for purchase or lease shall be accompanied by a certified check payable to the order of Federal Facilities Corporation in the amount of \$10,000. Deposits of unsuccessful bidders will be returned without interest. In the case of a successful purchaser, the deposit will be applied without interest to the purchase price and in the case of a lease, the deposit of the successful bidder will be deducted from the first rental payment.

Proposals for purchase may provide for payment on all cash basis, or on an extended payment plan basis whereunder not less than 10 percent of the purchase price must be paid in cash with the balance to be financed by a first lien purchase-money mortgage maturing in not more than 10 years, with interest payable semi-annually at the rate of 4 percent: *Provided, however,* That the foregoing financing terms shall not apply to the Inventory of Tin-Bearing Materials, including work-in-process, which may be financed in accordance with specific terms outlined in detail in the Instructions for the Submission of Proposals. In view of the alternative of filing proposals for the smelter alone or for the smelter with one or both of the other assets, the exact interests in real estate to be conveyed cannot be determined until negotiations have ensued.

During a period of not less than 30 days following the period for the receipt of proposals, Federal Facilities Corporation will enter into negotiations with those who have submitted proposals. The precise term for the period of negotiations will be determined by Federal Facilities Corporation and announced to all bidders found to be eligible. Priority in negotiations will be given to purchase

proposals over lease proposals, and to those proposals indicating interest in acquiring the smelter and one or both of the other assets over proposals limited to acquisition of the smelter alone.

Dated: July 19, 1956.

FEDERAL FACILITIES  
CORPORATION,  
[SEAL] LAURENCE B. ROBBINS,  
Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5773; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:45 a. m.]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. E-6692]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO. ET AL.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION SEEKING ORDERS  
AUTHORIZING SALE AND ACQUISITION OF  
PROPERTY

JULY 13, 1956.

In the matters of Northern States Power Company, St. Croix Falls Wisconsin Improvement Company, Interstate Light and Power Company, St. Croix Power Company, Docket No. E-6692.

Take notice that on July 9, 1956, an application was filed with the Federal Power Commission, pursuant to section 203 of the Federal Power Act by Northern States Power Company (hereinafter called "NSP (Wis)"), St. Croix Falls Wisconsin Improvement Company (hereinafter called "SCFWI"), Interstate Light and Power Company (hereinafter called "IL&P"), and St. Croix Power Company (hereinafter called "SCP"). The aforementioned companies hereinafter may be referred to collectively as "Applicants." Applicants are all corporations organized under the laws of the State of Wisconsin and doing business in said State; however, NSP (Wis) and SCFWI are also domesticated in the State of Minnesota. NSP (Wis) maintains its principal business office at Eau Claire, Wisconsin, SCFWI maintains its principal business office at St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin and IL&P and SCP maintain their principal business offices at Somerset, Wisconsin. SCFWI, IL&P and SCP seek an order authorizing the sale of all of their properties to NSP (Wis) and the latter seeks an order authorizing the acquisition of said properties, more fully described below. All of the Applicants are subsidiaries of Northern States Power Company (hereinafter called "NSP (Minn)"), a Minnesota corporation, all but NSP (Wis) being wholly-owned. NSP (Minn) owns 98.52 percent of the voting securities of NSP (Wis).

SCFWI proposes to sell to NSP (Wis) all of its operating facilities consisting of, among other things, a dam and hydroelectric plant located on the St. Croix River at St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin, a reservoir on the St. Croix River above the St. Croix Falls Dam; 30 route miles of a wood pole transmission line; a transmission substation; an electric distribution system and other related equipment and appurtenances. These facilities are used in furnishing electric service to the communities of Dresser, East Farming-

ton, Osceola and St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin, and adjacent territory, and furnishing electric energy at wholesale to NSP (Minn).

IL&P proposes to sell to NSP (Wis) all of the former's operating facilities comprising three separate divisions known as the Apple River Division, located in St. Croix County; the Prescott Division, located in Pierce County and the Hudson Division, located in St. Croix County; all in Wisconsin. The facilities of the Apple River Division consist of two hydroelectric generating plants located on the Apple River, Wisconsin; 6.09 route miles of transmission line; two electric transmission substations and an electric distribution system and other related equipment and appurtenances furnishing electric service to the communities of Houlton and Somerset, and adjacent territory. The facilities of the Prescott Division consist of an electric distribution system and other related equipment and appurtenances serving the City of Prescott and adjacent territory. The facilities of the Hudson Division consist of a gas distribution system which includes a high pressure gas holder, a compressor, regulating and metering equipment, 12.93 miles of gas mains and other related equipment and appurtenances.

SCP proposes to sell to NSP (Wis) all of the operating facilities of the former, consisting of, among other things, a dam and a hydroelectric plant located on the Apple River near Somerset, Wisconsin, all of the output of which is furnished at wholesale to NSP (Minn); 4.99 route miles of a transmission line; one transmission substation and other related equipment and appurtenances.

There will be no change in the use of the properties described above after their sale. The sales of the above-described facilities to NSP (Wis) by SCFWI, IL&P and SCP, include all of the operating facilities of the latter three companies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before the 31st day of July 1956, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., a petition or protest in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. The application is on file and available for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5790; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:47 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-10440]

MOUNTAIN FUEL SUPPLY CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND DATE OF  
HEARING

JULY 13, 1956.

Take notice that the Mountain Fuel Supply Company (Applicant) a Utah corporation with its principal place of business at Salt Lake City, Utah, filed an application on May 21, 1956, as supplemented on June 11, 1956, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity au-

thorizing the construction and operation of facilities and the transportation in interstate commerce of natural gas as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Applicant seeks certificate authorization for: (1) The construction, installation, and operation of an additional 2640 HP of gas engine driven compressor capacity at its Eakin Compressor Station, together with the necessary plant accessories therefor; (2) the construction and operation of 37.9 miles of 20-inch diameter pipeline extending from a point 11.8 miles east of said Eakin Compressor Station to Kanda Junction, 6.5 miles east of Green River, Wyoming, as a parallel loop to its present 18-inch line, together with the necessary cross-overs, fittings, valves, meter and other accessory facilities; and (3) the construction, installation, and operation of an interconnection, together with appurtenant facilities required to take delivery of a daily quantity of 58,750 Mcf of gas from Pacific Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Pacific) and a meter and control station on its present 18-inch line near the Eakin Compressor Station for measuring and controlling the delivery of such gas.

Applicant states that the proposed additional facilities and the proposed gas purchase from Pacific will increase its deliverability to 285,000 Mcf per day and will enable it to meet its estimated firm peak day requirements for the winter seasons 1956-57 and 1957-58 of 247,000 Mcf per day and 275,000 Mcf per day, respectively.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on August 16, 1956, at 9:30 a. m., e. d. s. t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before July 31, 1956. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as a waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5791; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:47 a. m.]

No. 139—4

[Docket No. E-6693]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION SEEKING ORDER  
AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF PROMISSORY  
NOTES

JULY 13, 1956.

Take notice that on July 9, 1956, an application was filed with the Federal Power Commission, pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act by Northern States Power Company ("Applicant"), a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Wisconsin, and doing business in the States of Wisconsin and Minnesota, with its principal business office at Eau Claire, Wisconsin, seeking an order authorizing the issuance from time to time of its Promissory Notes in amounts not exceeding in the aggregate \$6,500,000 at any one time outstanding, to evidence short-term borrowings from commercial banks. Applicant has now outstanding a Promissory Note in the amount of \$1,000,000, dated March 12, 1956, due on or before March 12, 1957, in favor of the First Wisconsin National Bank of Milwaukee. Applicant proposes to borrow the additional \$5,500,000 during the balance of 1956, for which it will issue Promissory Notes which will bear interest not in excess of the prime loan rate in effect at the time of each borrowing, to be dated as of the date of their issue, and be payable on or before a date not more than nine months after the date of issue, or September 30, 1957, whichever is earlier.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application, should on or before the 31st day of July 1956, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., a petition or protest in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. The application is on file and available for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5792; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:47 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-8471, etc.]

VILLAGE OF FRANKLIN, ILL., ET. AL.

NOTICE OF RECONVENING OF HEARING

JULY 13, 1956.

In the matters of Village of Franklin, Illinois, Docket No. G-8471; City of Hickman, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8526; City of Clinton, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8771; City of LaCenter, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8888; City of Bardwell, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8939; City of Wickliffe, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8962; Lake County Utility District, Docket No. G-8963.

In its Opinion No. 292, issued June 30, 1956, the Commission ordered that the record be reopened in the proceedings involving applications for orders pursuant to section 7 (a) of the Natural Gas Act filed by the Village of Franklin, Illinois, and the City of Hickman, City of Clinton, City of LaCenter, City of Bardwell and City of Wickliffe, all of the State

of Kentucky, and Lake County Utility District, of the State of Tennessee. The Commission ordered that an opportunity should be provided to these applicants to present further evidence in support of economic and financial feasibility of their respective projects.

Take notice that pursuant to the provisions of the said order issued June 30, 1956 and to the authority contained in the Natural Gas Act and to the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on Monday, September 17, 1956, at 10:00 a. m., e. d. s. t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented with regard to the economic and financial feasibility of the projects submitted by the applicants in the proceedings: Village of Franklin, Illinois, Docket No. G-8471; City of Hickman, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8526; City of Clinton, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8771; City of LaCenter, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8888; City of Bardwell, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8939; City of Wickliffe, Kentucky, Docket No. G-8962; and Lake County Utility District, in Tennessee, Docket No. G-8963.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5793; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:47 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-10264]

HOPE NATURAL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND DATE OF  
HEARING

JULY 13, 1956.

Take notice that Hope Natural Gas Company, Applicant, a West Virginia corporation, having its principal place of business at 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia, filed on April 16, 1956, an application, and on May 17, 1956, a supplement thereto, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity under section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing it to construct and operate a 5400 horsepower Storage Compressor Station, to be used to pump gas both into and out of Fink Storage Pool in Lewis County, West Virginia, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

The application states that due to the increasing population and the continued construction of new homes in the area served by Consolidated Natural Gas Company, Applicant's parent company, the demand for gas heating increases accordingly. Applicant expects this increase to continue and that it will be called upon to supply such increases from its storage operations during the heating season in the future, both on an average day and on peak days. The proposed compressor station will enable Applicant to cycle an additional 10,000 MMcf of gas annually and to withdraw gas at substantially higher rates at any of the lower inventories.

The total cost of the proposed project is estimated at \$2,138,761.00 to be fi-

nanced from funds to be obtained by issuing long term notes to the parent company.

No new or additional service is contemplated as the result of the proposed facilities.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on Thursday, September 6, 1956, at 9:30 a. m., e. d. s. t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before August 16, 1956. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made. Under the procedure herein provided for unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5794; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-10499]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF  
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

JULY 13, 1956.

Take notice that El Paso Natural Gas Company (Applicant), a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at El Paso, Texas, filed an application on May 31, 1956, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing the construction and operation of facilities and the sale of natural gas as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to construct and operate facilities, as fully represented in the application herein, which are to be used to supplement its existing facilities and those which were authorized by the Commission in Docket No. G-8940 and are presently being constructed by Applicant, so as to permit the sale and de-

livery by Applicant of up to an additional 150,000,000 cubic feet of natural gas per day to Applicant's California customers. Of said additional gas, 50,000,000 cubic feet of natural gas per day will be delivered jointly to Southern California Gas Company at the existing delivery point for these customers on the Arizona-California boundary at a point near Blythe, California, and 25,000,000 cubic feet of natural gas per day by these customers on the Arizona-California boundary at a point near Topock, Arizona, and 75,000,000 cubic feet of natural gas per day will be delivered to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company on the Arizona-California boundary at a point near Topock, Arizona.

Applicant states that the need for the additional gas service as proposed herein is due to increasing demands and there will be a shortage of natural gas in the areas served by the distributing companies in California, including San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley, and other cities in that section of northern California, and in Los Angeles, San Diego, and surrounding areas of southern California.

The total estimated cost of facilities proposed to be constructed by Applicant is \$86,258,000 cost of financing and increase in working capital requirements.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before July 31, 1956.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5795; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. IT-5026]

SERVICIOS ELECTRICOS DE PIEDRAS NEGRAS,  
S. A. AND CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR INCREASE IN  
AUTHORIZATION TO EXPORT ELECTRIC EN-  
ERGY FROM UNITED STATES TO MEXICO

JULY 13, 1956.

Take notice that on July 5, 1956, Servicios Electricos De Piedras Negras, S. A., Coahuila, Mexico, and Central Power and Light Company, Corpus Christi, Texas, filed a joint application for an increase in the authorization to export electric energy from the United States to Mexico, as heretofore granted in the above docket by Commission order issued February 26, 1952. By that order the above-named companies were authorized to export up to 18 million kwh of electric energy per annum at a maximum transmission rate of 3,500 kw over facilities located near Eagle Pass, Texas, and covered by Presidential Permits signed by the President of the United States on June 2, 1948, and April 29, 1949. The companies now request authorization to export up to 27 million kwh per annum at a maximum transmission rate of 5,000 kw; all as more fully shown in the application on file with the Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the

31st day of July 1956, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., a petition or protest in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5796; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-10486]

ATLANTIC REFINING CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND DATE OF  
HEARING

JULY 16, 1956.

Take notice that The Atlantic Refining Company (Applicant), a Pennsylvania corporation whose address is P. O. Box 2819, Dallas 1, Texas, filed, on May 28, 1956, an application for permission to abandon service, pursuant to section 7 (b) of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to terminate service as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Applicant proposes to abandon the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce from production in Jeanerette Field, St. Mary Parish, Louisiana, to United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) for resale in accordance with a provision to terminate as contained in the sales contract dated February 14, 1946 as amended by and between Applicant and United which is designated as The Atlantic Refining Company's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 61.

Applicant states, inter alia, that United has not in any wise indicated an intention to object to such termination; that Applicant has every reason to believe that United will conform fully to the provisions of the contract including the termination provision thereof, i. e. Article 12; that United is obligated to take ratably, and has so taken, from Applicant in the same proportion as United takes from others in the Jeanerette Field; that to Applicant's knowledge, United has only one other contract in this area; that geologically, Jeanerette Field is complicated and contains some 10 to 15 known sands, some gas and some oil, and accentuated by faulting; that a resulting commitment by United to take any definite amount would have been difficult if not impossible to assess; that the gas sold to United is presently produced from 5 wells in the Adelina Sand and 6 high pressure oil wells in Jeanerette Field; that the Louisiana Conservation Commission reclassified the Adelina Sand as a gas reservoir on September 15, 1955; that since September 15, 1955, Applicant could have produced at a total rate of between 12,000 and 13,000 Mcf daily from wells connected to United, without any additional surface equipment investment; that by installing additional facilities at an estimated cost of

\$330,000 to \$430,000 Applicant's rate of production could be increased to 20,000 Mcf daily; that with such new facilities, Applicant could increase its rate of production to approximately 27,000 Mcf daily by drilling an additional well in the Adeline Sand at an estimated cost of \$175,000; that a number of oil wells in the Jeanerette Field, not connected to United, are flaring gas at the rate of about 3,000 Mcf daily; that it would be possible to conserve and utilize this flared gas if the aforementioned facilities could be economically justified and installed; that the cost of installing the aforementioned facilities cannot be justified at the price under the Applicant-United contract of 5 cents per Mcf at 16.7 P. B. not corrected for supercompressibility; that Applicant has available an alternative sale by Applicant to the Louisiana Intrastate Gas Corporation under a 10-year contract at an initial price of 14.6 cents per Mcf; that the Applicant-United contract is a short-term contract made traditionally at lower rates and are considered by the industry as a source for spot supplies; that it is not in the public convenience and necessity for the Commission to interfere with the short-term character of these contracts; that the present and future public convenience and necessity not only permit, but indeed require that Applicant be permitted to discontinue the sales to United as expressly provided for in the sales contract; that present and future public convenience and necessity particularly compel replacement of the Applicant-United contract by Applicant's sale to Louisiana Intrastate Gas Corporation; and that the sale by Applicant to United under the Applicant-United contract is not subject to the provisions of section 7 (b) of the Natural Gas Act.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on Tuesday, July 31, 1956 at 9:30 a. m., e. d. s. t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, that the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before July 27, 1956. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate

decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

[SEAL]

LEON M. FUQUAY,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5805; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:50 a. m.]

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Project 3-DC-03]

### FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDINGS

#### PROSPECTUS FOR PROPOSED BUILDINGS IN SOUTHWEST REDEVELOPMENT AREA OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

EDITORIAL NOTE: This prospectus of proposed Project Number 3-DC-03 is published pursuant to section 412 (f) of the Public Buildings Purchase Contract Act of 1954 as amended by Public Law 150, 84th Congress, which requires publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, for a period of ten consecutive days from date of submission to the Committees on Public Works of the Senate and House of Representatives.

[Project Number 3-DC-03 (Revised)]

JUNE 29, 1956.

FORMAL PROSPECTUS FOR PROPOSED BUILDING  
UNDER TITLE I, PUBLIC LAW 519, 83d CONGRESS, 2d SESSION

FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING NO. 8, WASHINGTON,  
D. C.

1. *Brief description of proposed building.* The project contemplates the erection of a Federal Office Building on a site to be acquired. The building will be multi-storied and will provide approximately 263,000 square feet of net assignable space.

2. *Estimated maximum cost and financing.*  
a. Maximum cost of site and building, \$12,190,000.

b. Proposed contract term, 25 years.

c. Maximum rate of interest on purchase contract, 4 percent.

3. *Certificates of need.* There is attached certificate of need signed by the head of the agency which will use the facility. Certification is hereby made as to the need for service space. Upon completion of the facility, assignment and reassignment of space will be made in accordance with existing law.

4. *Non-availability of existing space.* Suitable space owned by the Government is not available and suitable rental space is not available at a price commensurate with that to be afforded through the contract proposed.

5. *Estimated annual managerial, custodial, heat, and utility costs.* (Services to be supplied by Government), \$276,100.

6. *Estimated annual tax liability, upkeep and maintenance.* a. Taxes, post construction (contract period), \$141,000.

b. Upkeep and maintenance (to be provided by Government), \$39,400.

7. *Current housing costs.* Housing costs currently paid by the Government for agencies to be housed in the building to be erected, \$208,077.

*Determination of need.* It has been determined that (1) the needs for space for the permanent activities of the Federal Government in this particular area cannot be satisfied by utilization of any existing suitable property now owned by the Government, and (2) the best interests of the United States will be served by taking action hereunder.

Submitted at Washington, D. C., on July 6, 1956.

Recommended:  
F. MORAN McCONHIE,  
Commissioner of Public Buildings Service.  
Approved:

FRANKLIN G. FLOETE,  
Administrator of General Services.

8. *Statement of Director, Bureau of the Budget.* Reflected in letter (copy attached).

### CERTIFICATE OF NEED

PROPOSED FEDERAL OFFICE (FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION) BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D. C.

Project No. 3-DC-03.

I, the undersigned, the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, in pursuance of the provisions of the Public Buildings Purchase Contract Act of 1954 (Public Law 519, 83d Congress) certify that there is a permanent need in this project for approximately 200,000 square feet of net agency space to accommodate the operations of the Food and Drug Administration.

M. B. FOLSOM,  
Secretary of Health,  
Education, and Welfare.

Certified at Washington, D. C. April 12, 1956.

### EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

#### BUREAU OF THE BUDGET

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

JULY 13, 1956.

Project #3-DC-03 (Revised June 29, 1956)  
Federal Office Building No. 8,  
Southwest Washington Area,  
Washington, D. C.

MY DEAR MR. FLOETE: Pursuant to section 411 (a) (8) of the Public Buildings Purchase Contract Act of 1954 (Public Law 519), the proposal for a Federal Office Building, received July 9, 1956, has been examined and in my opinion "is necessary and in conformity with the policy of the President." This approval is given with the following understanding:

1. That the stated project cost of \$12,190,000 (including cost of a site to be acquired at an estimated cost of \$75,000) is a maximum figure.

2. That the site located in the Southwest Washington Area will be developed to its maximum space utilization and that any space in excess of the needs of the Food and Drug Administration at the time the building is completed will be allocated to agencies then housed in temporary buildings. When the allocation of agencies is determined, temporary space of equivalent occupancy will be demolished.

3. That every effort will be made to design and construct space conducive to maximum efficient utilization and to take advantage of any revision of cost downward which may be found possible as the plans develop and negotiations are advanced.

You appreciate, of course, that this project will receive a more detailed review as to cost and space utilization.

Sincerely yours,

PERCIVAL F. BRUNDAGE,  
Director.

HON. FRANKLIN G. FLOETE,  
Administrator,  
General Services Administration,  
Washington 25, D. C.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5874; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
2:20 p. m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

### FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

JULY 13, 1956.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

## LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

**FSA No. 32361: Substituted Service—Motor-rail-motor—Pa. R. R. and N. & W. Ry.** Filed by Household Goods Carriers' Bureau, Agent, for the United Van Lines, Inc., and interested rail carriers. Rates on freight of various kinds, loaded in highway truck semi-trailers and transported on railroad flat cars from Chicago and East St. Louis, Ill., Indianapolis, Ind., and Pittsburgh, Pa. to Kearny, N. J., Philadelphia and Pittsburgh, Pa., and in reverse direction.

Grounds for relief: Motor truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 11 to Household Goods Carriers' Bureau tariff MF-I. C. C. No. 63.

**FSA No. 32362: Merchandise—New Orleans, La., to Lawrenceburg, Tenn.** Filed by Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company, for itself. Rates on merchandise, mixed carloads from New Orleans, La., to Lawrenceburg, Tenn.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 45 to Agent Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. 1458.

**FSA No. 32363: Commodities from and to the Southwest.** Filed by F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on feather pillows, carloads, and phosphorous pentasulphide, carloads from or to specified points in Texas to or from specified points in the Southwest and in other territories.

Grounds for relief: Carrier competition.

**FSA No. 32364: Fertilizer and Materials—McKees Rocks, Pa., to the South.** Filed by C. W. Boin, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on fertilizer and fertilizer materials, carloads from McKees Rocks, Pa., to Points in southern territory.

Grounds for relief: Short-line distance formula and circuitry.

Tariff: Supplement 6 to Agent Boin's tariff I. C. C. A-1075.

**FSA No. 32365: Iron and steel scrap—Troy, N. Y., to Boston, Mass.** Filed by The Boston and Maine Railroad Company, for itself. Rates on iron or steel scrap, carloads from Troy, N. Y., to Boston, Mass., for export.

Grounds for relief: Port competition with New York, N. Y.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5808; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:50 a. m.]

## OFFICE OF DEFENSE MOBILIZATION

[General Administrative Order VIII-1,  
Revised]

### ESTABLISHING THE POSITION OF ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR PRODUCTION

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the National Security Act of 1947, as amended; the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act, as amended; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1953; the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended; and Executive Order 10480 of August 14, 1953; *It is hereby ordered:*

1. There is established in the Office of Defense Mobilization the position of Assistant Director for Production.

2. The Assistant Director for Production will advise, assist, and act for the Director in formulating, coordinating, and establishing policies, programs, and plans to meet current needs, and various mobilization situations in the area of industrial production including facilities, equipment, components, materials, and supplies but excluding manpower, telecommunications and transportation services. More specifically, he will:

a. Determine the levels of requirements for defense, industrial, essential civilian, and survival and rehabilitation programs and determine the levels of resource supply needed to meet these requirements. This includes:

(1) Developing and disseminating to delegate agencies basic assumptions and guidelines for estimating requirements and supply.

(2) Examining requirements and supply estimates developed by delegate agencies for consistency, time phasing and general validity; and

(3) Consolidating and furnishing over-all requirements to resources agencies for use in examining the ability of the industries over which they have cognizance to meet such requirements.

b. Evaluate the defense implications of imbalances existing between requirements and capability of resources and producing industries to meet the demand, as identified and reported by the resources agencies.

c. Establish program objectives and develop programs for eliminating gaps in the mobilization base, including but not limited to expansion goals, stockpile objectives, programs involving loans, loan guarantees, and contractual arrangements, and special purchase programs.

d. Certify programs to other departments and agencies for administration; and follow and expedite the progress of such programs for overcoming deficiencies and gaps in the mobilization base.

e. Develop plans for the mobilization and management of natural resources and industrial production under varying mobilization situations, including organizational structures, system of control, and appropriate directives.

3. The Assistant Director for Production will develop and maintain a system for the assessment and reporting of attack damage and the impact of various patterns of attack on all segments of the mobilization base, including industry, manpower, telecommunications, transportation, and other mobilization resources.

4. In order to carry out the foregoing, there is hereby delegated to the Assistant Director for Production the following authorities vested in the Director:

a. Priorities and allocations authority of Title I of the Defense Production Act, as amended, except for the authority to make the findings under section 101 (b) of the act and except for the authority to redelegate to other officers and agencies of the Government.

b. Authority with respect to programs for the expansion of productive capacity and supply and the approval of borrowings therefor from the Treasury as pro-

vided by the various provisions of Title III of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended.

c. Authority to certify for income tax purposes with respect to defense essentiality under section 168 of the Internal Revenue Code.

d. Authority with respect to the stockpiling of strategic and critical materials under the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act, as amended, section 4 (h) of the Commodity Credit Corporation Act, as amended, section 204 (e) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act, and section 104 (b) of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954.

5. In order to assure government-wide understanding, coordination, and uniformity of objectives in the production field, the Assistant Director for Production shall serve as chairman of an Interagency Production Coordination Committee to be established by the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization and shall arrange to obtain the advice of this Committee on policies, major programs, and plans in the production field. The Chairman of the Committee shall arrange through the Committee for appropriate interagency committees to perform special assignments on individual problems. All interagency advisory committees heretofore established in the materials and production areas shall remain in existence in an advisory capacity to the Assistant Director for Production until replaced or superseded by an appropriate subcommittee of the Interagency Production Coordination Committee.

6. The Assistant Director for Production shall maintain adequate records to reflect the activities outlined above and furnish reports required by the Director.

7. The Assistant Director for Production, may at his discretion, delegate any of his functions or authority to other officials of the Production Area.

8. This order supersedes General Administrative Order V-1, dated November 5, 1953, and General Administrative Order VII-1, dated November 5, 1953. General Administrative Order X-1, dated September 20, 1954, and any other orders or parts of orders, the provisions of which are inconsistent or in conflict with this order, are hereby amended accordingly.

9. This order is effective July 1, 1956.

OFFICE OF DEFENSE  
MOBILIZATION,  
ARTHUR S. FLEMMING,  
Director.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5783; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:45 a. m.]

[General Administrative Order XI-1]

### ESTABLISHING THE POSITION OF ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR TRANSPORTATION

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the National Security Act of 1947, as amended; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1953; the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended; and Executive Order 10480 of August 14, 1953; *It is hereby ordered:*

1. There is established in the Office of Defense Mobilization the position of Assistant Director for Transportation who will advise, assist and act for the Director in formulating, coordinating, and establishing policies, programs, and plans to meet varying mobilization situations for land, sea, and air transportation systems, including pipelines, port facilities and storage facilities as they relate to transportation systems, but excluding facilities for the production of transportation equipment and supplies. More specifically, he will:

a. Coordinate the development by the several departments and agencies having responsibilities with respect to land, sea, and air transportation of plans and programs designed to assure maximum efficiency in the operation of the Nation's transportation systems under varying mobilization situations.

b. Coordinate the development by delegate transport agencies of equipment and facility requirements for the operation and maintenance of the Nation's transportation systems to meet current needs and varying mobilization situations.

c. Evaluate deficiencies existing in the mobilization base with respect to transportation as identified and reported by delegate transport agencies and, with due regard to the production mobilization programs, establish expansion goals and develop other programs designed to increase and maintain the nation's transportation capabilities to meet mobilization needs.

d. Serve as chairman of the Inter-agency Committee on Defense Transportation and Storage.

2. The Assistant Director for Transportation shall maintain adequate records to reflect the activities outlined above and furnish reports required by the Director.

3. This order does not alter any authority or responsibilities delegated or assigned to the other Assistant Directors of the Office of Defense Mobilization.

4. This order is effective on July 1, 1956.

OFFICE OF DEFENSE  
MOBILIZATION,  
ARTHUR S. FLEMING,  
Director.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5784; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:45 a. m.]

GORDON B. CARSON

NOTICE OF APPOINTMENT AND STATEMENT OF  
BUSINESS INTERESTS

Pursuant to section 710 (b) of the Defense Production Act of 1950 as amended, notice is hereby given of the appointment of Mr. Gordon B. Carson, Dean of Engineering, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, as an Advisor, with the Assistant Director for Manpower, in the Office of Defense Mobilization. Mr. Carson's statement of his business interests is set forth below.

Dated: July 13, 1956.

ARTHUR S. FLEMING,  
Director,  
Office of Defense Mobilization.

APPOINTEE'S STATEMENT OF BUSINESS  
INTERESTS

The following statement lists the names of concerns required by subsection 710 (b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended.

Vice President and Director, Federal Screen and Weatherstrip Manufacturing Company, Cleveland, Ohio.

Director, Edward Orton, Jr., Ceramic Foundation, Columbus, Ohio.

Vice President, Ohio State University Research Foundation, Columbus, Ohio.

Consultant, Selby Shoe Company, Portsmouth, Ohio.

Stockholder, Durlon Company, Dayton, Ohio.

Stockholder, Sylvania Electric Products, Inc., New York, N. Y.

Stockholder, National Fuel Gas, Inc., New York, N. Y.

Dated: January 17, 1956.

GORDON B. CARSON.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5785; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:46 a. m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION

[File No. 70-3478]

COLUMBIA GAS SYSTEM, INC., ET AL.

ORDER AUTHORIZING OPEN ACCOUNT ADVANCES, AND ISSUE, SALE AND ACQUISITION OF INSTALLMENT NOTES

JULY 13, 1956.

In the matter of The Columbia Gas System, Inc., United Fuel Gas Company, Amere Gas Utilities Company et al., File No. 70-3478.

The Columbia Gas System, Inc. ("Columbia"), a registered holding company, and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including United Fuel Gas Company ("United Fuel") and Amere Gas Utilities Company ("Amere"), have filed a joint application-declaration and amendments thereto pursuant to sections 6 (b), 9, 10, 12 (b) and 12 (f) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("act") and Rules U-43 and U-45 thereunder, including therein, inter alia, the following proposed transactions:

*Open account advances.* Columbia proposes to advance to United Fuel on open account such amounts not exceeding \$10,000,000 as United Fuel may require during 1956 for the purchase of current inventory gas. Such advances will be repayable in three equal installments on February 25, March 25, and April 25, 1957, with interest at the rate of 3½ percent per annum, which rate is the same as that which Columbia has agreed to pay on bank loans to be consummated for the procurement of the required funds.

*Issue and sale of installment notes.* When and to the extent that new money is required in connection with their 1956 construction programs, United Fuel and Amere will issue and sell, and Columbia will purchase, installment promissory notes of said subsidiaries, in aggregate amounts not exceeding \$9,000,000 for United Fuel and \$425,000 for Amere.

The notes will be unsecured and will be dated when issued. The principal amounts will be due in 25 equal annual

installments on February 15 of each of the years 1958 to 1982 inclusive. Interest will be payable semi-annually at the rate of 3.9 percent per annum, which was the approximate cost of money to Columbia on its last sale of debentures.

The aggregate fees and expenses to be paid in connection with the aforesaid transactions are estimated at \$200 for each of the companies involved.

Orders approving the proposed transactions have been issued by the Public Service Commission of West Virginia, in which State both United Fuel and Amere are organized and doing business.

Certain other transactions proposed in the joint application-declaration were approved by our order entered herein on June 13, 1956; still other transactions have not yet been finalized for our approval.

Due notice having been given of the filing of said joint application-declaration, and a hearing not having been requested or ordered by the Commission; and the Commission finding, with respect to the transactions specifically described herein, that the applicable provisions of the Act and the Rules promulgated thereunder are satisfied and that no adverse findings are necessary, and deeming it appropriate in the public interest and in the interest of investors and consumers that the joint application-declaration, as amended, be granted and permitted to become effective forthwith as to such transactions:

*It is ordered,* Pursuant to Rule U-23 and the applicable provisions of the act, that said application-declaration as amended, be and hereby is, granted and permitted to become effective forthwith as to the transactions described herein, subject to the terms and conditions prescribed in Rule U-24.

*It is further ordered,* That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, reserved with respect to the remaining transactions proposed in said joint application-declaration as to which the record is not yet complete.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DUBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5789; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:48 a. m.]

[File 24 SF-2164]

LEWISOHN COPPER CORP.

NOTICE OF AND ORDER FOR HEARING

JULY 13, 1956.

Lewisohn Copper Corp., a Delaware corporation with its principal office at 128 North Church Street, Tucson, Arizona, filed with the Commission, on September 22, 1955, a notification on Form 1-A relating to an offering of 200,000 shares of its common stock, 10 cents par value, purportedly at \$1.50 per share, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3 (b) thereof and Regulation A promulgated thereunder.

The Commission on June 15, 1956, issued an Order pursuant to Rule 223 of

the general rules and regulations under the act temporarily suspending said exemption and affording any person having an interest therein opportunity to request a hearing pursuant to said Rule 223. A written request for such hearing was received by the Commission on July 2, 1956, from Lewisohn Copper Corp.

The Commission deems it necessary and appropriate to determine whether to vacate said Order of June 15, 1956, or to enter an order permanently suspending said exemption.

It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule 223 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, that a public hearing be held on July 23, 1956 at 10:30 a. m., e. d. s. t., at the offices of the Commission at 225 Broadway, New York, New York, with respect to the following specified matters and questions, without prejudice, however, to the specification of additional issues which may be present in these proceedings:

A. Whether an exemption was available under Regulation A for the securities purported to be offered thereunder, whether the terms and conditions of the regulation have been complied with, and whether the offering circular and other material used in connection with the offering contained untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading particularly with respect to the following:

1. The statement in the offering circular that the public offering price was \$1.50 per share, failure to disclose in the offering circular the method of offering whereby the stock would be offered to the public at higher and undetermined prices by a small number of persons purchasing from the principal underwriter with a view to distribution and who in fact did so distribute the stock, and failure to disclose the profit of such persons.

2. The offering of securities, purportedly under said notification and regulation, when the aggregate public offering price of said securities and the aggregate gross proceeds actually received from their sale to the public exceeded \$300,000.

3. The failure to use an offering circular as required by Rule 219, in connection with the offering of said securities to the public.

4. The failure to file with the Commission copies of other material used in connection with the offering, as required by Rule 221.

5. The dissemination in connection with the offering of materially misleading information regarding the company, its plans and its properties.

B. Whether said offering did operate as a fraud and deceit upon the purchaser.

It is further ordered, That Sidney Feiler, or any officer or officers of the Commission designated by it for that purpose shall preside at the hearing, and any officer or officers so designated to preside at any such hearing is hereby authorized to exercise all of the powers granted to the Commission under sections 19 (b), 21 and 22 (c) of the Securities Act of 1933 and to hearing officers

under the Commission's rules of practice.

It is further ordered, That any person who desires to be heard or otherwise participate in such hearing should file with the Secretary of the Commission on or before July 20, 1956, a request relative thereto as provided in Rule XVII of the Commission's rules of practice.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5800; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:49 a. m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Commodity Stabilization Service

#### SUGARCANE WAGES AND PRICES IN LOUISIANA

#### NOTICE OF HEARING AND DESIGNATION OF PRESIDING OFFICERS

Pursuant to the authority contained in subsections (c) (1) and (c) (2) of section 301 of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, (61 Stat. 929; 7 U. S. C. Sup. 1131), and in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure applicable to wage and price proceedings (7 CFR 802.1 et seq.), notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be held in Thibodaux, Louisiana, in the High School Gymnasium on August 2, 1956, beginning at 10 a. m.

The purpose of such hearing is to receive evidence likely to be of assistance to the Secretary of Agriculture in determining (1), pursuant to the provisions of section 301 (c) (1) of said act, fair and reasonable wage rates for persons employed in the harvesting of the 1956 crop of sugarcane, and in the production and cultivation of sugarcane during the calendar year 1957, and (2), pursuant to the provisions of section 301 (c) (2) of said act, fair and reasonable prices for the 1956 crop of sugarcane to be paid, under either purchase or toll agreements, by producers who process sugarcane grown by other producers and who apply for payments under the act.

In the interest of obtaining the best possible information, all interested persons are requested to appear at the hearing to express their views and present appropriate data in regard to wages and prices. With respect to prices for sugarcane, while testimony on all pertinent points is desired, it is especially requested that witnesses be prepared to offer information and recommendations on the following matters:

1. The raw sugar and molasses pricing periods used as the bases for computing payments for sugarcane;

2. The costs of hoisting and weighing sugarcane to be borne by the processor or that he pay a stated amount per ton of sugarcane to producers to cover the costs of such services;

3. The amount of transportation allowances which the processor shall pay to producers for transporting sugarcane;

4. The price to be paid for salvage sugarcane.

The hearing, after being called to order at the time and place mentioned

herein, may be continued from day to day within the discretion of the presiding officers and may be adjourned to a later day or to a different place without notice other than the announcement thereof at the hearing by the presiding officers.

A. A. Greenwood, Ward S. Stevenson, William N. Garrott are hereby designated as presiding officers to conduct either jointly or severally the foregoing hearing.

Issued this 13th day of July 1956.

[SEAL]

LAWRENCE MYERS,  
Director, Sugar Division.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5803; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:48 a. m.]

### Office of the Secretary

#### KANSAS, NEW MEXICO AND TEXAS

#### DISASTER ASSISTANCE; DELINEATION OF DROUGHT AREAS

Pursuant to Public Law 875, 81st Congress, the President determined on August 26, 1954, that a major disaster occasioned by drought existed in the State of Kansas; the President determined on February 27, 1956, that a major disaster occasioned by drought existed in the State of New Mexico; and the President also determined on July 21, 1954, that a major disaster occasioned by drought existed in the State of Texas and extended that determination on September 19, 1955.

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Federal Civil Defense Administration (18 F. R. 4600; 19 F. R. 2148, 5364; 20 F. R. 4664), and for the purposes of section 2 (d) of Public Law 38, 81st Congress, as amended by Public Law 115, 83d Congress, and section 301 of Public Law 480, 83d Congress, the following counties in the following States were determined on June 29, 1956, to be affected by the above-mentioned major disasters.

Kansas: Gove, Greeley, Hamilton, Kearney, Logan, Sherman, Wallace, Wichita.

New Mexico: Union.

Texas: Crockett, Dimmitt, Duval, Edwards, Jeff Davis, Jim Hogg, Kinney, Loving, Real, Reeves, Starr, Val Verde, Webb, Zapata, Zavala.

Done at Washington, D. C., this 13th day of July 1956.

[SEAL]

TRUE D. MONSE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5804; Filed, July 18, 1956;  
8:50 a. m.]

### Rural Electrification Administration

[Administrative Order T-820]

#### TENNESSEE

#### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

MAY 23, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, a loan contract bearing the following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Ad-

# ministrator of the Rural Electrification Administration:

Loan designation: Amount  
 Adamsville Telephone Company,  
 Inc., Tennessee 514-D Adams-  
 ville..... \$72,000

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
 Director of Agricultural  
 Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5736; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:52 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-829]

## NORTH CAROLINA

### AMENDMENT OF LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

MAY 23, 1956.

I hereby amend:

(a) Administrative Order No. T-515,  
 dated October 19, 1954, by rescinding the  
 loan of \$12,000 therein made for "Chero-  
 kee Telephone Membership Corpora-  
 tion—North Carolina 525-B Cherokee."

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
 Director of  
 Agricultural Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5737; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:52 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-830]

## OREGON

### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

MAY 25, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural  
 Electrification Act of 1936, as amended,  
 a loan contract bearing the following  
 designation has been signed on behalf  
 of the Government acting through the  
 Director of Agricultural Credit Services,  
 United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
 Eastern Oregon Telephone Co.,  
 Oregon 524-A Eastern..... \$539,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
 Director of Agricultural  
 Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5738; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:52 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-831]

## MISSOURI

### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

MAY 25, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural  
 Electrification Act of 1936, as amended,  
 a loan contract bearing the following  
 designation has been signed on behalf  
 of the Government acting through the  
 Director of Agricultural Credit Services,  
 United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
 Stover Telephone Company, Mis-  
 souri 560-A Stover..... \$195,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
 Director of Agricultural  
 Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5739; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:52 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-832]

## KANSAS

### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

MAY 28, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural  
 Electrification Act of 1936, as amended,  
 a loan contract bearing the following  
 designation has been signed on behalf  
 of the Government acting through the  
 Director of Agricultural Credit Services,  
 United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
 Sedgwick Telephone Company,  
 Inc., Kansas 570-A Sedgwick..... \$273,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
 Director of Agricultural  
 Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5740; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:53 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-833]

## MICHIGAN

### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 1, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural  
 Electrification Act of 1936, as amended,  
 a loan contract bearing the following  
 designation has been signed on behalf  
 of the Government acting through the  
 Director of Agricultural Credit Services,  
 United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
 Southern Telephone Company,  
 Michigan 521-B Southern..... \$310,000

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
 Director of Agricultural  
 Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5741; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:53 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-834]

## TEXAS

### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 4, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural  
 Electrification Act of 1936, as amended,  
 a loan contract bearing the following  
 designation has been signed on behalf  
 of the Government acting through the  
 Director of Agricultural Credit Services,  
 United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
 Hull Telephone Company, Inc.,  
 Texas 601-A Houston..... \$975,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
 Director of Agricultural  
 Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5742; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:53 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-835]

## TEXAS

### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 4, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural  
 Electrification Act of 1936, as amended,

a loan contract bearing the following  
 designation has been signed on behalf  
 of the Government acting through the  
 Director of Agricultural Credit Services,  
 United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
 Texas Telephone and Tele-  
 graph Company, Texas 602-  
 A Corsicana..... \$6,895,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
 Director of Agricultural  
 Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5743; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:53 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-836]

## MINNESOTA

### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 7, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the  
 Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as  
 amended, a loan contract bearing the  
 following designation has been signed on  
 behalf of the Government acting  
 through the Director of Agricultural  
 Credit Services, United States Depart-  
 ment of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
 Chicago City Telephone Com-  
 pany, Minnesota 579-A Chi-  
 cago City..... \$375,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] FRED H. STRONG,  
 Acting Director of  
 Agricultural Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5744; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:53 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-837]

## GEORGIA

### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 7, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the  
 Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as  
 amended, a loan contract bearing the  
 following designation has been signed  
 on behalf of the Government acting  
 through the Director of Agricultural  
 Credit Services, United States Depart-  
 ment of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
 Wayne Telephone Company, Inc.,  
 Georgia 521-C Wayne..... \$77,000

[SEAL] FRED H. STRONG,  
 Acting Director of  
 Agricultural Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5745; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
 8:53 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-838]

## GEORGIA

### LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 8, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the  
 Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as  
 amended, a loan contract bearing the

following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Director of Agricultural Credit Services, United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
Comer Telephone Company,  
Georgia 501-C Comer----- \$624,000

[SEAL] FRED H. STRONG,  
Acting Director of  
Agricultural Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5746; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
8:53 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-839]

GEORGIA

LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 8, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, a loan contract bearing the following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Director of Agricultural Credit Services, United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
The Utelwico, Inc., Georgia 547-B  
Utelwico----- \$36,000

[SEAL] FRED H. STRONG,  
Acting Director of  
Agricultural Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5747; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
8:53 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-840]

TEXAS

LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 8, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, a loan contract bearing the following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Director of Agricultural Credit Services, United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
Eastex Telephone Cooperative,  
Inc., Texas 510-C Henderson--- \$250,000

[SEAL] FRED H. STRONG,  
Acting Director of  
Agricultural Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5748; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
8:54 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-841]

NEW YORK

LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 8, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as

amended, a loan contract bearing the following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Director of Agricultural Credit Services, United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
Township Telephone Company,  
Inc., New York 506-A Chau-  
mont ----- \$350,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] FRED H. STRONG,  
Acting Director of  
Agricultural Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5749; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
8:54 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-842]

OREGON

LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 8, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, a loan contract bearing the following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Director of Agricultural Credit Services, United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
Colton Telephone Company,  
Oregon 521-A Colton----- \$111,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] FRED H. STRONG,  
Acting Director of  
Agricultural Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5750; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
8:54 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-843]

VIRGINIA

LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 13, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, a loan contract bearing the following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Director of Agricultural Credit Services, United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
Raphine Telephone Company,  
Virginia 516-A Raphine----- \$250,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
Director of Agricultural  
Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5751; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
8:54 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-844]

TENNESSEE

LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 15, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, a loan contract bearing the following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Director of Agricultural Credit Services, United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
Powells Telephone Company,  
Tennessee 502-D Powells----- \$638,000

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
Director of Agricultural  
Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5752; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
8:54 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-845]

KANSAS

LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 15, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, a loan contract bearing the following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Director of Agricultural Credit Services, United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
Caldwell Telephone Company,  
Inc., Kansas 566-A Caldwell--- \$444,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] K. L. SCOTT,  
Director of Agricultural  
Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5753; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
8:54 a. m.]

[Administrative Order T-846]

GEORGIA

LOAN ANNOUNCEMENT

JUNE 18, 1956.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, a loan contract bearing the following designation has been signed on behalf of the Government acting through the Director of Agricultural Credit Services, United States Department of Agriculture:

Loan designation: Amount  
Gray-Haddock Telephone Co.,  
Inc., Georgia 530-A Gray-Had-  
dock ----- \$560,000

\* Simultaneous allocation and loan.

[SEAL] FRED H. STRONG,  
Acting Director of  
Agricultural Credit Services.

[F. R. Doc. 56-5754; Filed, July 17, 1956;  
8:54 a. m.]